

**Masaryk University
Faculty of Economics and Administration
Department of Finance
and
Institute for Financial Market**

European Financial Systems 2019

**Proceedings
of the 16th International
Scientific Conference**

Editors:

Josef Nešleha
Lukáš Marek
Miroslav Svoboda
Zuzana Rakovská

June 24-25, 2019

Brno, Czech Republic

Suggested citation:

AUTHOR, A. Title of the paper. In: *European Financial Systems 2019. Proceedings of the 16th International Scientific Conference*, Brno: Masaryk University, 2019, pp. xx-xx. ISBN 978-80-210-9337-9 (for print version Proceeding), ISBN 978-80-210-9338-6 (for on-line version Proceeding).

Editors:

Josef Nešleha
Lukáš Marek
Miroslav Svoboda
Zuzana Rakovská

© 2019 Masaryk University Press

ISBN 978-80-210-9337-9

ISBN 978-80-210-9338-6 (online : pdf)

All published papers have been reviewed before publishing. The proceedings have not been amended or proofread. Editors are not responsible for the language used in the papers.

Program Committee of the Conference

Chair:

Assoc. Prof. Ing. Eva Vávrová, Ph.D. (Masaryk University, Czech Republic)

Members:

Ing. Petr Valouch, Ph.D. (Masaryk University, Czech Republic)

Prof. Dr. Ing. Dana Dluhošová (VŠB – Technical University of Ostrava, Czech Republic)

Prof. Ing. Eva Horvátová, CSc. (University of Economics in Bratislava, Slovak Republic)

Prof. Ing. Danuše Nerudová, Ph.D. (Mendel University Brno, Czech Republic)

Prof. Ing. Juraj Nemec, CSc. (Matej Bel University, Slovak Republic)

Prof. Dr. Svend Reuse, MBA (FOM Hochschule für Oekonomie und Management, Federal Republic of Germany)

Assoc. Prof. Ing. Petr Dvořák, Ph.D. (University of Economics, Prague, Czech Republic)

Lecturer Univ.-Doz. Mag. Dr. Peter R. Haiss (Vienna University of Economics and Business, Republic of Austria)

Assoc. Prof. Ing. Peter Krištofík, Ph.D. (Matej Bel University, Slovak Republic)

Assoc. Prof. Antonin Rusek, PhD. (Susquehanna University, USA)

Assoc. Prof. Ing. Jaroslav Sedláček, CSc. (Masaryk University, Czech Republic)

Assoc. Prof. Ing. Jitka Veselá, MBA, Ph.D. (University of Economics, Prague, Czech Republic)

Assoc. Prof. Ing. Jana Vodáková, Ph.D. (Masaryk University, Czech Republic)

Organizing Committee of the Conference

Chair:

Ing. Josef Nešleha

Members:

Ing. Lukáš Marek

Mgr. Zuzana Rakovská

Mgr. Miroslav Svoboda

Ing. Martina Sponerová

Conference website: www.efs.econ.muni.cz

PREFACE

Dear readers,

it is my pleasure to introduce a collection of papers from the 16th annual international scientific conference **European Financial Systems 2019** organized annually by the Department of Finance of the Faculty of Economics and Administration, Masaryk University in Brno, Czech Republic. This year's conference focused especially on the current issues related to financial markets, accounting, banking sector, insurance, financial literacy, financial law, different tax systems, corporate finance, international finance, public finance and financing of non-profit organizations.

Since the collection of papers presents the latest scientific knowledge in this area, I believe you will get a number of new insights usable for your scientific, educational and practical activities.

I wish you pleasant reading!

Eva Vávrová

Chairwoman of the Program Committee

CONTENTS

Břetislav Andrlík, Lucie Zborovská

TIME-BASED FEE FOR VEHICLES IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC: NEW MODEL AND CHALLENGE? 14

Alexandra Bagiová, Jitka Meluchová, Martina Mateášová

FINANCIAL BILINGUALISM AS A SUCCESS FACTOR FOR EFFECTIVE BUSINESS COMBINATION PROJECT: THE CASE OF US INVESTORS..... 23

Mária Kmety Barteková, Helena Majdúchová

EVALUATION OF THE POLICIES SUPPORTING CULTURAL AND CREATIVE INDUSTRIES IN THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC 30

András Bethlendi, Csaba Lentner, András Póra

THE DIVERGENCE BETWEEN THE EU AND NON-EU FISCAL COUNCILS 40

Maroš Bobulský, Mária Bohdalová

ROBUST OPTIMIZATION METHODS IN MODERN PORTFOLIO THEORY 49

Hana Bohušová, Patrik Svoboda

THE IMPACT OF THE WAYS OF AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITY REPORTING ON FINANCIAL STATEMENTS UNDER IFRS AND CZECH ACCOUNTING LEGISLATION 57

Gábor Bóta, László Nagy, Mihály Ormos

CAPITAL ASSET PRICES IN THE V4 COUNTRIES 66

Bálint Botos, László Nagy, Mihály Ormos

STATISTICAL ARBITRAGE PORTFOLIO SELECTION IN THE OLD AND NEW EU MEMBER STATES 74

Loredana Andreea CRISTEA, Alina Daniela VODĂ, Dragoş Mihai UNGUREANU

DETERMINANT FACTORS OF FISCAL REVENUES, UNDER CURRENT ECONOMIC CONDITIONS 82

Juraj Čurpek, Jitka Veselá

HURST EXPONENT AND THE EFFICIENCY OF THE CZECH ELECTRICITY MARKET 90

Darya Dancakova, Jozef Glova

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LOWER SECONDARY EDUCATION IN OECD COUNTRIES 98

Michaela Florianová

INFORMATION ASYMMETRY IN INSURANCE MARKET 109

Milan Gedeon	
IFRS 16 LEASES: THE RESULT OF THE IASB AND FASB COOPERATION WITH A FOCUS ON DIFFERENCES COMPARED TO ASC 842	118
Ján Gogola, Petr Šild	
ROBOTIC PROCESS AUTOMATION FOR INVESTMENT MODELLING	126
Ewa Gubernat	
EXCESSIVE DEBT OF HOUSEHOLDS AND FINANCIAL EXCLUSION	133
Peter Haiss, Alexandra Brandstaedter	
IMPLICATIONS OF IFRS 9-BASED MULTI-YEAR RISK PARAMETERS FOR BANK MANAGEMENT	139
Taťána Hajdíková, Karel Janák, Olga Oberreiterová	
EXCISE TAX HARMONIZATION IN THE EU	148
Filip Hampl, Elena Láncošová, Zuzana Rakovská	
SEARCHABILITY AND MACHINE-READABILITY OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF THE CZECH BUSINESS CORPORATIONS AND THEIR INFLUENCE ON THE FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE	155
Irena Honková	
THE CBA ANALYSIS OF IFRS IMPLEMENTATION IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC.....	163
Jana Hvozdenska	
PROFITABILITY OF AGRICULTURAL ENTITIES IN COUNTRIES OF VISEGRAD GROUP	172
Jana Hvozdenska	
THE YIELD CURVE AS A PREDICTOR OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY – THE CASE OF GERMANY, GREAT BRITAIN AND FRANCE	178
Mai A. Ibrahim, Mohammed El-Beltagy, Motaz Khorshid	
INVESTING IN EMERGING MARKETS: CONTRASTED MEAN AND MEDIAN MODELS IN EGYPTIAN STOCK MARKETS	184
Nikola Janíčková, Ondřej Žižlavský	
KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS SYSTEM FOR SMALL AND MEDIUM-SIZED ENTERPRISES IN NON-PRODUCTIVE AUTOMOTIVE IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC: A RESEARCH DESIGN.....	192
Zuzana Janková, Petr Dostál	
ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL MARKET USING SOFT COMPUTING TECHNIQUES.....	201
Magdalena Jasiniak	
STOCK PRICE AND INVESTMENT DECISIONS – CASE OF WARSAW STOCK EXCHANGE	210

Sylvia Jenčová, Petra Vašaničová, Igor Petruška	
FINANCIAL POSITION OF THE SLOVAK SPA COMPANIES	218
Yvona Kaňovská, Klára Strašáková, Lenka Janošová	
CULTURAL DIVERSITY IN WORKING TEAMS	226
Özcan Karahan	
FOREIGN CAPITAL INFLOWS AND DOMESTIC SAVINGS IN TURKEY.....	239
Mariusz Karwowski	
THE ROLE OF IFRS 15 IN THE EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTS OF BUSINESS MODEL INNOVATION.....	247
Oksana Kashina, Nataliya Pronchatova-Rubtsova, Sergey Petrov, Nadezhda Yashina	
METHODOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF EVALUATING THE EFFICIENCY OF PUBLIC PROCUREMENT IN ORDER TO IMPROVE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF FINANCIAL CONTROL IN THE REGIONS OF RUSSIA.....	255
Michaela Kavčáková	
EVALUATION OF FINANCIAL HEALTH OF COMPANIES IN SLOVAK REPUBLIC BASED ON SELECTED MATHEMATICAL-STATISTICAL METHODS	262
Agata Kliber, Katarzyna Świerczyńska	
BITCOIN AS A PANACEA FOR THE VENEZUELAN CRISIS.....	271
Monika Klimontowicz, Krystyna Mitreęga-Niestrój	
FINTECHS AS ALTERNATIVE FINANCIAL MARKET PLAYERS	282
Eva Kolářová, Vendula Kolářová	
ANALYSIS OF CONSUMPTION TAX DEPENDENCE ON BEER CONSUMPTION IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC.....	290
Daniela Kolouchová, Ondřej Machek, Aleš Kubíček	
DOES GENDER MATTER IN THE PERFORMANCE OF FAMILY FIRMS? EVIDENCE FROM THE CZECH REPUBLIC.....	299
Irina Kolupaieva, Olena Shevchenko, Ivan Mytsenko, Alina Borysova	
ANALYSIS OF MIGRATION PROCESSES AND ASSESSMENT OF THEIR IMPACT ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF INTERNATIONAL MONEY TRANSFERS OF UKRAINE	307
Lenka Komárková, Libor Votava, Jiří Dvořák	
REGIONAL DIFFERENCES IN THE RELATION OF FLAT PRICES TO NET DISPOSABLE INCOME OF HOUSEHOLDS.....	318
Jaroslav Kovárník, Eva Hamplová	
FOREIGN TRADE IN CENTRAL EUROPE: THE ANALYSIS OF OPENNESS	325

Zuzana Kubaščíková, Miloš Tumpach, Zuzana Juhászová, Bazhan Turebekova, Saule Saparbayeva	
CONTEXTUAL NON-FINANCIAL INFORMATION ANALYSIS OF ANNUAL REPORTS	334
Gabriela Kukulová, Lukáš Moravec, Dana Bína Filipová, Viktor Janáček, Miroslav Ulrych	
LOWERED VAT RATE TRANSFER TO THE CONSUMER PRICES: SELECTED MEDICAMENTS CASE STUDY	345
Blanka Łęt	
DO EUROPEAN INVESTORS REACT TO EXTREME OIL PRICES? EVIDENCE FROM GRANGER CAUSALITY IN TAILS TEST	353
Jozef Lukáč	
IMPLEMENTATION OF IAS/IFRS AND THEIR IMPACT ON SELECTED FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	362
Lukáš Marek	
IMPACT OF BREXIT ON VOLATILITY CONNECTEDNESS ACROSS ASX'S SUBINDICES.....	369
O. Mykolenko, S. Strapchuk, O. Kozyrieva	
AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF THE IMPACT OF R&D ON PRODUCTIVITY IN EU COUNTRIES	377
Svatopluk Nečas, Eva Vávrová	
MILESTONES IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE MODERN INSURANCE MARKET IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC.....	385
Juraj Nemec, Matúš Grega, Marta Orviská, Markéta Šumpíková	
TRANSACTION COSTS IN THE CZECH AND SLOVAK E-PROCUREMENT: SELECTED ISSUES	392
Danuše Nerudová, Veronika Solilová, Marian Dobranschi, Marek Litzman	
TAX HAVEN TIES AND THE PROFITABILITY OF FOREIGN OWNED COMPANIES	400
Josef Nesleha, Lenka Janosova	
THE FINANCIAL EDUCATION AMONG PUPILS IN THE SOUTH MORAVIAN REGION	409
Aleksandra Nocoń	
EVOLUTION OF THE FINANCIAL STABILITY REPORTS – THEORETICAL APPROACH	418
Josef Novotný, Renáta Myšková	
INVESTMENT IN LAND – STRATEGIC DECISION	426

Sergey Petrov, Nadezhda Yashina, Oksana Kashina, Nataliya Pronchatova-Rubtsova, Mikhail Rogozin	
IDENTIFYING OF THE EXPECTATIONS OF STOCK TRADING PARTICIPANTS FOR THE DIAGNOSIS OF FINANCIAL MARKET ENVIRONMENT USING THE ONLINE ANALYSIS OF AN ORDER BOOK.....	434
Veronika Popelková, Jaroslav Sedláček	
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EUROPEAN DIRECTIVE ON NON-FINANCIAL INFORMATION IN THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AREA	443
Lenka Přečková, Eva Vávrová	
THE EXPENSE RATIO DEVELOPMENT OF INSURANCE PORTFOLIOS IN SELECTED INSURANCE COMPANIES IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC IN 2010-2017.....	452
Rejnuš Oldřich	
EXTREME TOOLS OF WORLD ECONOMY MANAGEMENT AND THEIR RISKS	462
Pavla Říhová, Milan Svoboda	
RELIABILITY OF INVESTMENT RECOMMENDATIONS.....	471
Ilja Schaab, Eric Frère, Alexander Zureck	
EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN: TESTING THE ZERO YIELD BIAS.....	480
Dennis Schmidt, Alexander Zureck, Martin Svoboda, Stephan Burmeister	
A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF TOO PROMINENT TO FAIL IN TIMES OF NEYMAR	488
Dennis Schmidt, Alexander Zureck, Stefanie Gradetzki, Gennadij Seel	
CRITICAL INVESTIGATION OF THE EFFECTS OF SERIES EXTENSIONS ON THE STOCK PRICE OF THE PROVIDER.....	494
Eugenia Schmitt	
RISK TAKING AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IN THE NEW BANK REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS	502
Pavel Sedláček, Elena Láncošová	
PREDICTION OF ELECTRICITY PRICES, COMPARISON OF GERMANY AND THE CZECH REPUBLIC	510
Jaroslav Sedláček	
ECONOMIC EFFECTS OF IFRS 16 IMPLEMENTATION	516
František Sejkora, Petra Hromuláková	
RECORD KEEPING FOR INDIVIDUAL ENTREPRENEURS IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC	523
Ľudomír Šlahor, Mária Kmety Barteková, Janka Gasperová, Dagmar Palátová	
LONG-TERM SOCIAL CARE – THE PROBLEM OF SUSTAINABLE FINANCING IN SLOVAKIA.....	529

Michał Soliwoda, Agnieszka Kurdyś-Kujawska	
DOES THE LINKAGE BETWEEN AGRICULTURAL BUSINESS INSURANCE AND USE OF DEBT EXIST? EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM POLISH FARM BUSINESSES.....	538
Ivan Soukal, Eva Hamplová	
FACTORS OF PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATION TEST RESULTS OF SELECTED NON-BANK CONSUMER CREDIT PROVIDERS EMPLOYEES.....	546
Martina Sponerová, Miroslav Svoboda, Miroslav Sponer	
PREDICTION OF BANKRUPTCY IN A DIFFERENT PERIOD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.....	554
Pavla Srbová, Mária Režňáková	
PREDICTION OF ECONOMIC GROWTH TO DETERMINE THE GROWTH RATE OF BUSINESS VALUE	560
Zdeněk Strmiska, Jana Vodáková	
IMPORTANCE OF VAT IN GOVERNMENT BUDGETS	568
Veronika Svatošová	
STRATEGIC APPROACHES OF SMES IN ECONOMIC PERFORMANCE OF E-COMMERCE.....	574
Martin Svítíl	
LEASING COMPANIES IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF BASEL III REGULATION	584
Martin Svoboda, Annika Rüder, Noel Opala, Gennadij Seel	
STRUCTURAL BREAKS IN CONSUMER'S BEHAVIOR ACCORDING TO THEIR DEMAND FOR CREDITS AND DEPOSITS.....	595
Łukasz Szewczyk	
COUNTERCYCLICAL CAPITAL BUFFER AS A MACROPRUDENTIAL INSTRUMENT AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION IN SELECTED EU COUNTRIES.....	603
Petr Valouch, Josef Nesleha	
IMPACT OF INDEBTEDNESS ON THE COMPANY PERFORMANCE: COMPARISON OF MERGED AND NON-MERGED COMPANIES	610
László Vértesy	
LOCAL DEBT BURDEN AT LAU2 LEVEL IN THE EU COUNTRIES	616
Radosław Witczak, Tomasz Florczak	
THE CHANGES IN THE POLISH TAX SYSTEM AND THEIR INFLUENCE ON THE TAX EVASION.....	625
Sergey Yashin, Nadezhda Yashina, Nataliya Pronchatova-Rubtsova, Oksana Kashina, Bogomolov Sergey	
METHODOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF ASSESSING THE FISCAL SUSTAINABILITY OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATIONS' REGIONS IN MODERN ECONOMIC CONDITIONS .	633

Robert Zajkowski, Ada Domańska, Beata Żukowska GENERATIONAL SHADOW IN POLISH FAMILY FIRMS - MAY SECOND GENERATION CHANGE ANYTHING?	642
Silvie Zlatošová A NON-ASYMPTOTIC AUTOMOBILE BONUS-MALUS SYSTEM WITH VARYING TRANSITION RULES	650
Alexander Zureck, Eric Frère, Gennadij Seel, Lars Klingenberger TEACHING AND LEARNING WITH AUDIOVISUAL MEDIA: EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PODCASTS IN FINANCIAL LITERACY	656

An Empirical Analysis of the Impact of R&D on Productivity in EU Countries

¹O. Mykolenko , ²S. Strapchuk, ³O. Kozyrieva

¹National University of Pharmacy,
Faculty of Pharmaceutical Technology and Management,
Department of Management and Administration
Pushkinska Str. 53, 61000, Kharkiv, Ukraine
E-mail: mykolenkohelen@gmail.com

²National University of Pharmacy,
Faculty of Pharmaceutical Technology and Management,
Department of Management and Administration
Pushkinska Str. 53, 61000, Kharkiv, Ukraine
E-mail: baysvetlana@gmail.com

³National University of Pharmacy,
Faculty of Pharmaceutical Technology and Management,
Department of Management and Administration
Pushkinska Str. 53, 61000, Kharkiv, Ukraine
E-mail: yakakos74@gmail.com

Abstract: *The research investigates the question whether research and development expenditures contribute to productivity growth and depend on the particular countries' capacity and industries' technological level. The paper deals with the analysis of the impact of R&D on the companies' productivity on the industry level in EU countries based on panel data and the stochastic frontier production function approach. We estimate the production function separately for six different sectors and one industry. The results indicate that distribution of production and other relevant factors, such as physical capital per employee, labour force with tertiary education, ICT goods exports etc., vary significantly across industries, reflecting different absorption and diffusion capability of economies and their potential to structural changes. The findings have the practical value for strategic development of Ukrainian economy in the course of integration into EU market, and suggest the design of R&D policy based on the experience of EU countries, structure of the national industries, their technological level and future perspectives.*

Keywords: R&D; productivity, technological level

JEL classification codes: O32; O39

1 Introduction

There is widespread understanding that innovation is a trigger for economic growth, especially in the long run (Fagerberg et al., 2010; Mairesse and Mohnen, 2010). However, the conceptual and empirical links between innovation and growth on different levels are complex. Innovation is not a linear process, and there is no direct impact of investments in innovation on economic development. Moreover, metrics for the analysis suffer from limitations. This makes it difficult to establish new perspectives on future policy on innovation development, and research and development (R&D) spending. Thus, strengthening innovation is a fundamental challenge for European countries in their quest for greater prosperity and better lives, including Ukraine with its developing market.

According to the Global Innovation Index Ukraine has ranked highest in the last seven years (43th), having improved position mostly due to high coefficient of innovation efficiency – knowledge and technology outputs (GII, 2018). The strengths of the country are knowledge creation, results of scientific research, ratio of patents by origin to GDP, ratio of useful utility models by origin to GDP, computer software spending (% GDP), ICT services export in percent of total trade (GII, 2018). Nevertheless, Ukrainian economy has a weak basis for innovatory technology-based development. The number of enterprises that carried out research into innovation in 2017 decreased by 9 % compared

to 2016. Investments in intangible assets during the last fifteen years have not exceeded 4% of capital investments, and the share of high-tech and medium-tech production amounted to 11.3% of all manufacturing sector (SIA, 2018). In addition, according to statistics, R&D expenditures have not reached 0.5 % of GDP in 2018 that is not comparable with data of EU countries and their targets (SIA, 2018). After EU countries didn't reach 3 % of R&D expenditure in GDP by 2010, the renewed 3 % goal was set as one of the main five targets of the Europe 2020 strategy adopted in 2010 (Liik, 2014). Thus, the strategic development of Ukraine should be based on balanced R&D policy that absorbs best practices and experience of developed EU countries in innovation and R&D activities on different levels.

Thus, the aim of the paper is to analyse the contribution of research and development to the efficiency (productivity) of industries with various technological levels in EU countries. In order to perform the analysis stochastic frontier production function approach was employed. There are plenty of scientific researches that deal with the stochastic frontier analysis as the basis for measuring technical efficiency (Aigner et al., 1977; Coelli et al., 2005; Belotti et al., 2013; Liik, 2014). Additionally, stochastic frontier analysis allows to estimate a much wider range of time-varying inefficiency based on the inefficiency effects model of Battese and Coelli (1995) and the 'true' fixed and random-effects models developed by Greene (2005). We conduct our analysis in order to investigate whether production efficiency are influenced by various factors of production (physical capital, R&D capital) on the one hand, and the various factors external to the enterprises in order to identify the perspectives on R&D policy in Ukraine. The industry-level data are from OECD STAN (indicators of labour, capital, etc.) and ANBERD (R&D expenditures) databases for 21 EU countries for the period of 2009–2016. In addition, the variables for various external factors are derived from the World Development Indicators database.

Designing the policy goals on R&D one needs to consider the industrial specialization of the economy and the respective technological levels of industries in sectors. For instance, Kumbhakar et al. (2012) linked R&D to higher efficiency primarily in high-tech industries and not in low-tech industries based on data collected from the biggest European R&D performers. Therefore, the targets for R&D expenditures have to be differentiated with regard to the technological level of particular industry. The other research suggests that EU firms are more likely to achieve productivity gains through capital-embodied technological change at least in medium and low-tech sectors (Castellani, 2016). And Ortega-Argilés et al. (2014) point to a lower capacity of European companies to transform R&D investment into productivity gains due to a sort of modern Solow's (1987) paradox. They conclude that there might be an effect due to both level and productivity impact of R&D spending within European firms, irrespective of their sectoral belonging. Since there is a variety of research results, we will focus on sectoral differences in technological capacity of absorption and diffusion.

2 Methodology and Data

The study is based on industry-level (by International Standard Industrial Classification of All Economic Activities (ISIC) Rev. 4) data from 21 EU countries, over 8-year period from 2009 to 2016, forming an unbalanced panel. Two datasets were combined, OECD STAN (for measures of output, labour input, and capital) and OECD ANBERD (for research and development expenditures). We have used the OECD data as it includes most of the EU countries. The study also includes data from countries like the USA, Japan, and South Korea. Additionally, in order to construct the efficiency frontier we utilized data from countries with the highest levels of productivity in the respective industries.

Model Specification

Based on econometric theory pre-specified functional form is estimated and inefficiency is modeled as an additional stochastic term. The Stochastic frontier production function model (single Cobb-Douglas form for panel data) is as follows (Coelli et al., 2005):

$$\ln Y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times \ln K_{it} + \beta_2 \times \ln R \& D_{it} + \delta_i \ln z_{it} + t + v_{it} - u_i, \quad (1)$$

where:

Y_{it} – value added per employee;

K_{it} – physical capital per employee;

$R\&D_{it}$ – R&D capital per employee;

t – time trend; .

z_{it} – efficiency covariates (external factors); .

v_{it} – random variables of i-th unit in year t reflecting effect of statistical noise;

u_i – non-negative time-invariant random variables capturing time-invariant technical inefficiency.

Table 1 presents the description of individual variables.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics

Variable	Description	No. of obser	Mean	SD	Min	Max
LF	Labour force with tertiary education (% of total)	4163	29.5	6.2	15.3	41.5
ICTEx	ICT goods exports (% of total goods exports)	4200	6.58	4.06	1.07	26
ICTIm	ICT goods imports (% total goods imports)	4200	7.8	2.73	2.75	15.69
Y	Y = VA/ NEM*	4200	217977	590873	1533	11841500
K	Physical capital* / NEM	4163	68622	232165	110	3630000
R&D	R&D _{capital} * / NEM	3453	2384	6825	0.006	86348

Source: authors' calculations based on ANBERD, OECD STAN, World Development Indicators database

* the currency is euro

The following variables from the Table 1 are used in our model:

- NEM – number of employees;
- GFCF – gross fixed capital formation at current prices; .
- VA – value added at current prices;
- R&D_{exp} – R&D expenses (at current prices).

According to widely used perpetual inventory method (Hall, Mairesse et al., 2010) R&D_{exp} and investments into physical capital must be capitalized in order to provide R&D and physical capital stock variables. R&D capital in time period t is calculated as follows:

$$R\&D_{capital_t} = R\&D_{capital_{t-1}}(1 - \delta) + R\&D_{exp_t}, \quad (2)$$

where δ is the depreciation rate.

We base our analysis on the following depreciation rates for R&D capital (Kumbhakar et al., 2012): high-tech – 20%; medium-tech – 15%; low-tech – 12%. The depreciation rates for physical capital equal 8%, 6%, and 4% respectively. Different depreciation rates are explained by relevant technological level of the corresponding sector and its life cycle.

In order to produce adequate efficiency estimation a common production frontier is a necessary condition (Koop, 2001). Thus, considered sectors should share a common frontier. To utilize these samples, sectors are grouped into manufacturing (high-tech 21, 26-28, 72; medium-tech 05-25, 29-33, 58-63,69-75, 84-88; and low-tech 15-37); services (45-47,49-53,55-56,58-66,68-75,77-82,84-88,90-99), primary (01-03). A pharmaceutical industry (21) was derived due to its strategic importance for Ukrainian economy. Manufacturing is divided by technological level according to ISIC Rev. 4. Therefore, we estimate the production function separately for six different sectors and one high-tech industry. Also we concern the possible problem of multicollinearity between two types of capital that needs to be reduced. Per capita specification is one of the remedial measures to overcome this problem.

Fixed and random effect models are used for different sectors (test results in Table 2). Since our models don't include time-invariant covariates heterogeneity is not reflected in the fixed-effects SFA model.

3 Results

Table 2 presents the results of seven different models: six of them are fitted across sectors, including whole sample, and one is estimated for a pharmaceutical industry. All models are based on Equation (1). The estimates are derived from multiple tests of a number of options, including combinations of external factors (LF, ICTEx, ICTIm). We consider both fixed- and random effect models (the choice is based on the random-effects test).

One industry (21) as a part of the high-tech sector is represented separately, firstly, to show the differences between sector- and industry-based productivity, secondly, to shed the light on a strategic perspective on innovation development of Ukrainian economy. As a result, mean efficiency of high-tech sector as a whole differs from pharmaceutical industry. Thus, averaging the values over sectors may create significant differences and result in models' inaccuracy due to indistinguishable heterogeneity.

Table 2 also shows that outlined external factors being significant in sector-based models, are non-significant in the whole sample model. For instance, tertiary education appears to have the capacity for developing new technologies, when the innovation becomes the basis of development (Acemoglu et al., 2006). The factor has a positive influence on productivity in high and medium-tech manufacturing, as well as in services. It has even higher elasticity than R&D capital respectively, in both high and medium-tech sectors. In pharmaceutical industry, the impact of educated labour on productivity is even more stronger than in all other sectors, which points to heterogeneity within sectors. The specified factor are generally under government control, so policy-makers are not restricted in stimulating labour market. The other variables that we consider are ICT goods exports and ICT import. In contrast to ICT goods exports (% of total exports), imports has a positive impact on production efficiency. This could be diffusion effect (spread of technology). However, it is significant only in case of services. In medium-tech manufacturing it has a lowest effect among all factors within this sector.

In addition, production input estimates are also significant, and some general conclusions can be made. Physical capital appears to be statistically significant in all sectors. In manufacturing sectors, the effect of physical capital depends on a technological level of sector. It decreases with a rising technological level. In all sectors, physical capital has a significant influence, being the highest in the primary sector. However; the differences in the impact of the variable between sectors' are not so visible. In turn, R&D capital shows the opposite trend. It increases with a rising technological level. And the differences between values are much more visible (0.12 versus 0.05). R&D capital also looks more elastic than the other capital. The R&D effect increases if a particular industry moves closer to the efficiency frontier (Acemoglu et al., 2006). Exactly in that case the innovation becomes an important source of development, while for the industries located out of the efficiency frontier the effect may be lower.

A time trend of technological change is also present mostly due to the high-tech sector and its progress, however, it has a weak effect on efficiency in all industries. Only primary sector shows no progress in technological level on a year-by-year basis. However, built on our analysis the primary sector is the one with time-varying decreasing inefficiency. Therefore, the primary sector is moving closer to the efficiency frontier.

The results are generally in accordance with other studies of a similar type (Kumbhakar et al., 2012; Hall et al., 2010). However, this study is based on stochastic frontier production function approach that includes inefficiency analysis across sectors, but not countries.

The study is not without limitations that are mostly connected with the outlined factors. For instance, R&D capital as a part of innovation process is not a comprehensive variable, but it is easily available. As a result, we base our investigation on the assumption that technological level relates to R&D intensity, which is not always the case. There may be indistinguishable heterogeneity across industry. And the last but not the least, additional efficiency covariates (external factors) should be considered. For instance, Jaumotte and Pain (2005) show that the availability of scientists and engineers, industry-academia knowledge exchange and others would increase the R&D activities of enterprises.

Table 2. Models' parameters for different sectors

Variable	Whole sample	High-tech	Pharmaceutical industry	Medium-tech	Low-tech	Primary sector	Services
lnK	0.248***	0.189***	0.718***	0.311***	0.526***	0.823***	0.496***
lnR&D	0.101**	0.121**	0.242***	0.111**	0.056***	-	0.038*
Time	0.004***	0.018***	-	0.078***	0.013***	-	0.043***
lnLF	-	0.181**	0.426**	0.214**	-	-	0.143**
lnICTEx	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
lnICTIm	-	-	-	0.058*	-	-	0.112*
constant	-91.12***	-37.89***	1.14*	-103.28***	-148.15***	9.41**	-94.56***
RE test	$F(4.321)=24.3$	$F(4,349)=3.89$	-	$F(6.318)=0.98$	$F(3.428)=9.12$	$F(4.412)=1.46$	$F(2.389)=0.85$
p-value	0.0000	0.0563	-	0.3258	0.0008	0.4112	0.0000
Hetero-skedasticity	$\chi^2(8) = 139.55$	$\chi^2(1) = 18.94$	-	$\chi^2(6) = 76.93$	$\chi^2(3) = 64.45$	$\chi^2(3) = 8.75$	$\chi^2(3) = 108.4$
p-value	.0000	.0004	.0000	.0000	.0031	.3698	.0058
Mean efficiency	0.19	0.42	0.71	0.39	0.39	0.56	0.35

Source: authors' calculations

4 Conclusions

The analysis contributes to the literature by the developing the ideas of Kumbhakar et al. (2012) with industry-level data on a wider set of countries. Based on the previous literature and the analysis the importance of R&D is highlighted. It is concluded that the growth of R&D capital prominently contributes to enhancing effect more in high-tech industries, such as pharmaceutical and ICT. Both industries are of strategic importance to Ukrainian economy. Therefore, R&D investments in the development of these industries will contribute to the increased level of value added according to our results. And the experience of EU countries proves our assumption.

A contribution to economic productivity may also result from technological progress embodied in physical capital. We identified that physical capital is the only one variable that positively influences productivity in all industries. Investment in more advanced machinery or in new computers are beneficial for any industry. According to our analysis, it has a strong effect on pharmaceutical and primary sector. What is more important is that primary sector includes agriculture that also will benefit in Ukraine from investing in a new technology and machinery after the land market becomes opened for sale.

We also contribute by investigating how the link between production efficiency and R&D is influenced by various external factors like ICT good exports or imports (% of total).

References

- Acemoglu, D., Aghion, P., Zilibotti, F. (2006). Distance to frontier, selection, and economic growth. *Journal of European Economic Association*, vol. 4, pp. 37-74.
- Aigner, D. J., Lovell, C. A. K., Schmidt, P. (1977). Formulation and estimation of stochastic frontier production function models. *Journal of Econometrics*, vol. 6, pp. 21-37.
- Battese, G. E., Coelli, T. J. (1995). A model for technical inefficiency effects in a stochastic frontier production function for panel data. *Empirical Economics*, vol. 20, pp. 325-332.
- Castellani, D., Piva, M., Schubert, T., Vivarelli, M. (2016). The Productivity Impact of R&D Investment: A Comparison between the EU and the US. *Discussion Paper*, No. 9937, 27 p.
- Coelli, T. J., Rao, D. S. P., O'Donnell, C. J., Battese, G. E. (2005). *An introduction to efficiency and productivity analysis* (2nd ed.). New York: Springer, pp. 209-310.
- Fagerberg, J., Srholec, M., Verspagen, B. (2010). Innovation and economic development. In: B. H. Hall and N. Rosenberg (Eds.), *Handbook of the economics of innovation*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp. 833-873.
- GII (2018). *The Global Innovation Index 2018: Energizing the World with Innovation*. Retrieved from: https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/en/wipo_pub_gii_2018.pdf.
- Greene, W. (2005). Reconsidering heterogeneity in panel data estimators of the stochastic frontier model. *Journal of Econometrics*, vol. 126, pp. 269-303.
- Hall, B. H., Mairesse, J., Mohnen, P. (2010). Measuring the returns to R&D. In: B. H. Hall and N. Rosenberg (Eds.), *Handbook of the economics of innovation*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp. 1033-1082.
- Jaumotte, F., Pain, N. (2005). From ideas to development: The determinants of R&D and patenting. *OECD Economics Department Working Papers*, No. 44, 59 p.
- SIA (2018). *Scientific and innovation activity of Ukraine 2017*. Retrieved from: <http://www.ukrstat.gov.ua/>.
- Koop, G. (2001). Cross-sectoral patterns of efficiency and technical change in manufacturing. *International Economic Review*, vol. 42(1), pp. 73-103.

Kumbhakar, S. C., Ortega-Argilés, R., Potters, L., Vivarelli, M., Voigt, P. (2012). Corporate R&D and firm efficiency: Evidence from Europe's top R&D investors. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, vol. 37, pp. 125-140.

Mairesse, J., Mohnen, P. (2010). Using innovation surveys for econometric analysis. In: B. H. Hall and N. Rosenberg. (Eds.), *Handbook of the economics of innovation*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp. 1130-1155.

Liik, M., Masso, J., Ukrainski, K. (2014). The contribution of R&D to production efficiency in OECD countries: econometric analysis of industry-level panel data. *Baltic Journal of Economics*, vol. 14(1-2), pp. 78-100.

Ortega-Argilés, R., Piva, M., and Vivarelli, M. (2014), The Transatlantic Productivity Gap: Is R&D the Main Culprit? *Canadian Journal of Economics*, vol. 47, pp. 1342-1371.

Masaryk University
Faculty of Economics and Administration
Department of Finance
and
Institute for Financial Market

European Financial Systems 2019

Proceedings of the 16th International Scientific Conference

Edited by Josef Nešleha, Lukáš Marek, Miroslav Svoboda, Zuzana
Rakovská

Published by Masaryk University Press, Žerotínovo nám. 617/9, 601 77
Brno, CZ

1st edition, 2019, number of copies 100

Printed by: **Tiskárna KNOPP s.r.o.**
U Lípy 926, 549 01 Nové Město nad Metují

ISBN 978-80-210-9337-9

ISBN 978-80-210-9338-6 (online : pdf)