



**МІНІСТЕРСТВО ОХОРОНИ ЗДОРОВ'Я УКРАЇНИ  
НАЦІОНАЛЬНИЙ ФАРМАЦЕВТИЧНИЙ УНІВЕРСИТЕТ  
КАФЕДРА КЛІНІЧНОЇ ЛАБОРАТОРНОЇ ДІАГНОСТИКИ,  
МІКРОБІОЛОГІЇ ТА БІОЛОГІЧНОЇ ХІМІЇ**



**MINISTRY OF HEALTH OF UKRAINE  
NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF PHARMACY  
DEPARTMENT OF CLINICAL LABORATORY DIAGNOSTICS,  
MICROBIOLOGY AND BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY**



**ЗБІРНИК  
публікацій  
II Міжнародної науково-практичної  
*online* конференції  
«СУЧАСНІ ДОСЯГНЕННЯ ЕКСПЕРИМЕНТАЛЬНОЇ,  
КЛІНІЧНОЇ, ЕКОЛОГІЧНОЇ БІОХІМІЇ ТА  
МОЛЕКУЛЯРНОЇ БІОЛОГІЇ»**

**BOOK  
of publications  
of II International scientific and practical  
*online* conference  
"MODERN ACHIEVEMENTS OF EXPERIMENTAL,  
CLINICAL, ENVIRONMENTAL BIOCHEMISTRY AND  
MOLECULAR BIOLOGY"**

**07 листопада 2025 р.  
м. Харків, Україна  
November 07, 2025  
Kharkiv, Ukraine**

49. Donati G, Watt FM. Stem cell heterogeneity and plasticity in tissue homeostasis and regeneration. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2015;17(11):1235-1246.
50. Chua BA, Mah A, Lim SM, et al. Post-transcriptional regulation of homeostatic, stressed, and malignant stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2020;26(6):759-774.
51. Tierney MT, Sacco A. Satellite cell heterogeneity in skeletal muscle homeostasis. *Trends Cell Biol.* 2016;26(9):634-644.

## **THE SCIENTIFIC DISCOURSE OF EXPLORING THE APPLICATION OF CONTEMPORARY EDUCATIONAL PRACTICES IN UNIVERSITY LEVEL MEDICAL-PHARMACEUTICAL TRAINING AROUND THE WORLD**

**Nodar Sulashvili <sup>1</sup>, Otar Gerzmava <sup>2</sup>, Ada (Adel) Tadevosyan <sup>3</sup>, Vira Kravchenko <sup>4</sup>, Elene Abzianidze <sup>5</sup>, Naira Chichoyan <sup>6</sup>, Luiza Gabunia <sup>7</sup>, Nana Gorgaslidze <sup>8</sup>, Tamar Tsintsadze <sup>9</sup>, Natia Kvizhinadze <sup>10</sup>, Nato Alavidze <sup>11</sup>, Nino Abuladze <sup>12</sup>, Ketevani Gabunia <sup>13</sup>, Igor Seniuk <sup>14</sup>, Lali Patsia <sup>15</sup>, Marika Sulashvili <sup>16</sup>, Lela Grigolia <sup>17</sup>, Kakhaber Robakidze <sup>18</sup>, Magda Davitashvili <sup>19</sup>, Marina Giorgobiani <sup>20</sup>, Irine Zarnadze <sup>21</sup>, Shalva (Davit) Zarnadze <sup>22</sup>**

1. MD, PhD, Doctor of Pharmaceutical and Pharmacological Sciences in Medicine, Invited Lecturer (Invited Professor) of Scientific Research-Skills Center at Tbilisi State Medical University; Professor of Medical and Clinical Pharmacology of International School of Medicine at Alte University; Professor of Pharmacology of Faculty of Medicine at Georgian Teaching National University SEU; Associate Affiliated Professor of Medical Pharmacology of Faculty of Medicine at Sul Khan-Saba Orbeliani University; Associate Professor of Pharmacology of Pharmacy Program at Shota Meskhia Zugdidi State University; Associate Professor of Medical and Clinical Pharmacology at School of Medicine at David Aghmashenebeli University of Georgia; Associate Professor of Biochemistry and Pharmacology Direction at the University of Georgia, School of Health Sciences; Associate Professor of Pharmacology of Faculty of Medicine at East European University; Associate Professor of Pharmacology of Faculty of Dentistry and Pharmacy at Tbilisi Humanitarian Teaching University; Invited Professor of Pharmacology of Faculty of Medicine at Teaching University "Geomed"; Invited Lecturer of Tbilisi State Medical University of Department of Clinical Pharmacology; Invited Professor of Pharmacology of Faculty of Medicine at European University; Tbilisi, Georgia; Researcher of Department of Pharmaceutical Management of Yerevan State Medical University After Mkhitar Heratsi, Yerevan, Armenia; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9005-8577>. E-mail: [n.sulashvili@ug.edu.ge](mailto:n.sulashvili@ug.edu.ge)
2. MD, PhD, Doctor of Medical Sciences, Academician, Professor of Tbilisi State Medical University, Professor of School of Medicine at Grigol Robakidze University, President of Georgian Association of Health Administrators, Tbilisi, Georgia.
3. MD, PhD, Doctor of Medical Sciences, Academician, Professor of Yerevan State Medical University, Academician and Full Member of the International Academy of Sciences of Ecology and Life Safety (MANEB), Academician of the Republic Armenia Law Academy, Member of the Association of Psychiatrists of Armenia, World Association of Psychiatrists, International Association for Traumatic Stress, World Association for Biological Psychiatry, International Association "Stress and Behavior", Licensed Psychiatrist, Psychotherapist, Public Health Organizer; Tbilisi-Georgia, Yerevan-Armenia, Los Angeles-USA;
4. MD, PhD, Doctor of Biological Sciences, Academician, Professor, Head of The Biological Chemistry Department at National University of Pharmacy, Kharkiv, Ukraine. <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6335-2490>
5. PhD, Doctor of Biological Sciences, Academician, Emeritus Professor of Tbilisi State Medical

University, Department of Molecular and Medical Genetics; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1140-7688>; Tbilisi, Georgia.

6. MD, PhD, Doctor of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Professor of Yerevan State Medical University After Mkhitar Heratsi, Head of the Department of Pharmacognosy, Yerevan, Armenia. <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3905-3372>

7. MD, PhD, Doctor of Medical Sciences, Professor, Director of the Scientific Research-Skills Center at Tbilisi State Medical University, Professor, Head of the Department of Medical and Clinical Pharmacology at Tbilisi State Medical University, Clinical Pharmacologist of The First University Clinic of TSMU, Tbilisi, Georgia <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0856-2684>

8. MD, PhD, Doctor of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Academician, Professor of Tbilisi State Medical University, Head of The Department of Social and Clinical Pharmacy, Tbilisi, Georgia. <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4563-5224>

9. MD, PhD, Doctor of Medical Sciences, Professor of Georgian Technical University, Head of the Department of Pharmacy and Pharmacology, Tbilisi, Georgia.

10. MD, PhD, Doctor of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Professor of Tbilisi State Medical University, Department of Social and Clinical Pharmacy; Tbilisi, Georgia.

11. MD, PhD, Doctor of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Professor of Akaki Tsereteli State University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pharmacy, Kutaisi, Georgia. Professor, Dean Faculty of Medicine at East European University, Tbilisi, Georgia. <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6695-5924>

12. MD, PhD, Doctor of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Professor of Akaki Tsereteli State University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pharmacy, Kutaisi, Georgia. <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2189-7470>

13. MD, PhD, Doctor of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Professor of Akaki Tsereteli State University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pharmacy, Kutaisi, Georgia. <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5857-6593>;

14. PhD, Doctor of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Dean of faculty of Pharmacy at National University of Pharmacy of Ukraine, Associate Professor of Department Of Clinical Laboratory Diagnostics, Microbiology And Biological Chemistry at National University of Pharmacy of Ukraine, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3819-733>; Kharkiv, Ukraine.

15. MD, PhD, Doctor of Medical Sciences, Doctor Cardiologist at Republican Hospital, Invited Professor of Tbilisi State Medical University, Professor of Faculty of Medicine at Ken Walker International University, Professor of International School of Medicine at Alte University, Professor of Faculty of Medicine at Sulkhana-Saba Orbeliani University, Tbilisi, Georgia.

16. MD, Doctor of Family Medicine, Invited Lecturer of Tbilisi State Medical University, Department of Molecular and Medical Genetics, Invited Professor of Biochemistry and Molecular and Medical Genetics at The University of Georgia; Tbilisi, Georgia.

17. MD, PhD, Doctor of Medical Sciences, Professor of Faculty of Medicine at Caucasus International University; Tbilisi, Georgia;

18. MD, PhD, Doctor of Medical Sciences, Academician, Professor of Faculty of Medicine at Caucasus International University; Doctor-Professor of National Health Center Named After Academician O. Gudushauri; Tbilisi, Georgia;

19. PhD, Doctor of Biological Sciences, Professor, Academician, Georgian Academy of Ecological Sciences, Program Coordinator of Quality Assurance Office at Iakob Gogebashvili Telavi State University (TeSaU), Telavi, Georgia. <https://orcid.org/0009-0005-4213-6533>

20. MD, PhD, Doctor of Medical Sciences, Academician, Professor of Tbilisi State Medical University, Faculty of Public Health; Department of Hygiene and Medical Ecology, Tbilisi, Georgia. <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0686-5227>

21. MD, PhD, Doctor of Medical Sciences, Professor of Tbilisi State Medical University, Department of Public Health, Health Care Management, Policy and Economy, Tbilisi, Georgia.

22. MD, PhD, Doctor of Medical Sciences, Academician, Professor of Tbilisi State Medical University, Dean of the Faculty of Public Health, Head of the Department of Nutrition, Aging Medicine, Environmental and Occupational Health, Tbilisi, Georgia.

**Abstract.** The landscape of higher education for medical and pharmaceutical professions is undergoing a profound transformation, driven by rapid technological advancements, evolving healthcare demands, and a deeper understanding of pedagogical science. This abstract synthesizes the global scientific discourse surrounding the application of contemporary educational practices within these critical fields. The traditional model of passive knowledge transmission, heavily reliant on didactic lectures and rote memorization, is increasingly recognized as insufficient for preparing future healthcare providers for the complexities of modern practice. In response, a paradigm shift is underway towards student-centered, experiential, and technology-enhanced learning methodologies designed to cultivate not only foundational knowledge but also the essential skills of critical thinking, clinical reasoning, collaborative practice, and lifelong learning. Globally, several key innovative practices are being integrated into medical and pharmaceutical curricula. Problem-Based Learning (PBL) and Team-Based Learning (TBL) have become cornerstones, challenging students to apply their knowledge to realistic clinical scenarios and fostering interdisciplinary collaboration from the earliest stages of training. Simulation-based education, ranging from low-fidelity task trainers to high-fidelity human patient simulators and virtual reality environments, provides a safe and controlled space for students to develop technical proficiency, clinical decision-making skills, and non-technical competencies like communication and teamwork without risking patient safety. The flipped classroom model has redefined the learning dynamic, leveraging digital resources to deliver foundational content asynchronously, thereby liberating valuable classroom time for active, application-focused activities under the guidance of faculty. Furthermore, the integration of Interprofessional Education (IPE) is gaining significant momentum, breaking down the historical silos between medicine, pharmacy, nursing, and other health professions. By learning with, from, and about each other, students develop a mutual respect and understanding that is crucial for delivering effective, patient-centered collaborative care upon entering the workforce. Complementing these approaches is the strategic adoption of digital tools and online learning platforms, which facilitate blended learning, enable access to vast digital repositories and clinical databases, and support personalized learning pathways through adaptive learning technologies. The implementation of these contemporary practices, however, is not without significant challenges and global disparities. A primary barrier is resource inequity; the high cost of simulation technology, advanced

software, and robust digital infrastructure can preclude their adoption in many low- and middle-income countries, as well as in underfunded institutions elsewhere. This creates a "digital divide" in global health professions education. Furthermore, successful implementation requires substantial faculty development, as educators must transition from being content deliverers to facilitators of learning, a shift that demands new skillsets and is often met with resistance rooted in tradition. Curricular overcrowding presents another formidable obstacle, as integrating new pedagogical approaches necessitates difficult decisions about what content to reduce or remove from an already packed syllabus. Finally, the assessment of competencies gained through these methods—such as clinical judgment, teamwork, and professionalism—requires a move beyond traditional standardized exams towards more complex, often qualitative, tools like Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCEs), portfolios, and multisource feedback, which are more resource-intensive to develop and administer. Despite these challenges, the impetus for change remains strong, fueled by compelling evidence of improved educational outcomes. Research indicates that contemporary methods enhance student engagement, knowledge retention, and the development of clinical skills. They better prepare graduates for the ambiguities and complexities of real-world practice, ultimately contributing to improved patient safety and care quality. The global COVID-19 pandemic, while disruptive, acted as a potent catalyst, forcing a rapid, worldwide experimentation with remote and technology-enabled learning that has permanently altered the pedagogical landscape and demonstrated the feasibility of innovative approaches at scale.

**Introduction.** Educational methods and experiences include learning and teaching techniques aimed at achieving specified learning outcomes and supporting students' independent learning. This experience may be formal or informal, group or individual, and may be a medical school, community, secondary or tertiary experience or educational experience. The choice is determined by the curriculum and local cultural issues related to education, as well as the available human and material resources.

The integration of technology into medical education has revolutionized traditional teaching methods. E-learning platforms, virtual simulations, and mobile applications provide students with access to resources and interactive experiences beyond the classroom. The use of telemedicine and digital health tools also prepares students for modern healthcare delivery systems [1-3].

Competency-based education (CBE) is gaining prominence in medical training, focusing on the acquisition of specific competencies necessary for effective practice. CBE emphasizes outcomes rather than time-based curricula, enabling students to progress at their own pace while mastering clinical skills. This approach aligns

educational objectives with the demands of the healthcare profession [4-6].

Interprofessional education (IPE) fosters collaboration among healthcare professionals from diverse fields. IPE programs promote teamwork and communication skills, essential for providing patient-centered care in complex healthcare settings. By engaging medical students with nursing, pharmacy, and allied health students, IPE enhances understanding of the roles and responsibilities of various healthcare providers [7-8].

**Assessment and Feedback:** The modern assessment methods are evolving to reflect the competencies required in medical practice. Formative assessments, direct observation, and feedback mechanisms play a crucial role in guiding student learning. Tools such as Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCEs) and workplace-based assessments (WPBA) ensure that students are evaluated on their practical skills in real-world settings.

**Challenges in Implementing Modern Teaching Methods:** Despite the benefits of modern teaching and learning methods, several challenges impede their widespread adoption in medical education: Resistance to change, many educators are accustomed to traditional teaching methodologies, leading to resistance when implementing new approaches. Overcoming this inertia requires ongoing professional development and training to equip instructors with the skills necessary for innovative teaching [9-11].

**Resource Constraints:** Limited resources, including funding, technology, and personnel, can hinder the effective implementation of modern teaching methods. Higher education institutions must prioritize investment in educational technologies and infrastructure to support innovative teaching practices.

**Assessment Validity:** Ensuring the validity and reliability of assessment methods remains a challenge in competency-based medical education. Developing robust assessment tools that accurately measure student competencies is essential for maintaining educational quality and accountability.

**Maintaining Student Engagement:** As educational methods evolve, maintaining student motivation and engagement can be challenging. Continuous evaluation of teaching strategies and incorporation of student feedback are vital to creating an engaging learning environment [12-14].

It is impossible to study any specific issue in the teaching process with only one method and one activity. In the teaching process, the teacher has to use activities of different methods, and in many cases, there is a combination of activities. During the teaching process, the activities complement each other.

The study presents how augmented reality (AR) can be used as a teaching aid for medical education, focusing on remote and socially distanced learning. An

Augmented Reality (AR)-enhanced learning tool, was developed to assist medical students in understanding complex anatomical structures. The study introduces the principles of prompt engineering for large language models and applies them to medical education. It provides a comprehensive guide to help both teachers and students improve education in the medical field by leveraging AI technologies [15-17].

In an era marked by rapid scientific and technological advancements, the field of medical education has undergone a significant paradigm shift. The traditional approaches, characterized by lecture-based instruction and rote memorization, are being redefined by innovative teaching and learning methodologies. These modern methods aim to meet the evolving demands of global healthcare systems, equipping future medical professionals with the skills necessary to address complex medical challenges, adapt to emerging technologies, and provide patient-centered care [18-20].

Central to this transformation is the adoption of student-centered pedagogies such as problem-based learning (PBL), case-based learning (CBL), team-based learning (TBL), and flipped classrooms. These methods encourage active participation, critical analysis, and collaborative problem-solving among students, fostering a deeper understanding of medical concepts and their real-world applications. Simulation-based education, using tools like high-fidelity mannequins and virtual patients, provides a safe and controlled environment for students to practice clinical skills, enhancing their competence and confidence [21-22].

The transformation of higher education in medical programs worldwide has been significantly influenced by the adoption of modern teaching and learning methods. Traditional didactic teaching approaches, while foundational, are increasingly supplemented or replaced by innovative methodologies that emphasize student-centered learning, interdisciplinary collaboration, and the integration of technology. These contemporary methods address the evolving demands of healthcare systems, aiming to produce medical professionals who are not only knowledgeable but also adaptable, reflective, and capable of lifelong learning [23-25].

Modern teaching strategies such as problem-based learning (PBL), simulation-based education, flipped classrooms, and inter-professional education have gained prominence. These approaches prioritize active engagement, critical thinking, and the application of theoretical knowledge in practical settings. Additionally, technological advancements, including virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and online learning platforms, have expanded the possibilities for immersive and flexible learning experiences.

However, the implementation of these methods varies globally, influenced by cultural, economic, and institutional factors. In some regions, resource constraints and

resistance to change pose significant challenges, while in others, rapid technological adoption fosters innovation. The discussion of these issues is essential for identifying best practices, addressing disparities, and ensuring that medical education aligns with the needs of modern healthcare environments [26-28].

This topic calls for a comprehensive examination of the scientific, pedagogical, and practical aspects of these methodologies, exploring their impact on student outcomes, faculty roles, and institutional policies. By addressing these dimensions, stakeholders in medical education can better understand how to optimize teaching and learning processes in diverse global contexts.

The most common teaching-learning activities and their definitions are presented. The teacher will select the necessary activity from them, depending on the specific goal and task.

We discussed the following methods of learning and teaching:

Discussion/debate is one of the most common methods of interactive learning activity. The discussion process dramatically increases the quality of student engagement and activity. The discussion can turn into an argument, and this process is not limited to questions asked by the teacher. It develops the student's ability to reason and justify his own opinion [29-31].

Cooperative teaching is a teaching strategy when each member of the group is obliged not only to study by himself, but also to help his teammate to study the subject better. Each member of the group works on the problem until all of them have mastered the issue.

Collaborative work – teaching method using this activity involves dividing students into groups and giving them learning tasks. Group members work on the issue individually and simultaneously share their opinions with the rest of the group. It depending on the task. It is possible to redistribute functions among the members during the work of the group. This strategy ensures maximum involvement of all students in the learning process [32-35].

Problem-based learning (PBL) - an activity that uses a specific problem as the initial stage of the process of acquiring and integrating new knowledge.

Case study – the teacher will discuss specific cases with the students and they will study the issue in every way and thoroughly.

Brain storming – this activity involves thinking about a specific issue/problem as much as possible within the topic, preferably.

Radically different, the promotion of thought, idea formation and expression. This activity leads to the development of a creative approach to the problem. Its use is effective in the presence of a large group of students and consists of several main

stages: Defining the problem/issue from a creative point of view. To write down the ideas expressed by the listeners around the issue in a certain period of time without criticism (mainly on the blackboard). Determination of evaluation criteria to determine the relevance of the idea to the purpose of the research. Evaluation of selected ideas with predetermined criteria. To highlight those ideas that are most relevant to the question by exclusion. Revealing the idea with the highest evaluation as the best means of solving the set problem.

Role-playing and situational games – games based on pre-designed scenarios allow students to look at the issue from different perspectives. It helps them to form an alternative point of view. Like discussion, these games also develop the student's ability to express his position independently and defend it in an argument.

Demonstration – this method of activity involves visual presentation of information. It is quite effective in terms of achieving results. In many cases, it is better to present the material to students both audio and visual at the same time. The material to be studied can be demonstrated by both the teacher and the student. This method helps us to make visible the different stages of understanding the learning material, specify what the students will have to do independently. At the same time, this strategy visualizes the essence of the issue/problem. Demonstration may take a simple form.

Inductive defines a form of transfer of any knowledge, when the course of thought in the learning process is directed from facts to generalization, that is, when conveying material, the process proceeds from concrete to general.

Deductive defines a form of transfer of any knowledge, which is a logical process of discovering new knowledge based on general knowledge, that is, the process proceeds from the general to the specific [36-38].

Analysis helps us understand the learning material as a whole. In decomposition into constituent parts. This facilitates detailed coverage of individual issues within a complex problem.

Synthesis means making a whole by grouping separate issues. This method helps to develop the ability to see the problem as a whole.

Verbal or oral method – this method includes lecture, narration, conversation, etc. In the mentioned process, the teacher conveys and explains the learning material through words, and the students actively perceive and assimilate it by listening, memorizing and understanding.

Writing work method – which includes the following types of actions: making extracts and notes, summarizing material, drawing up theses, writing a report or essay, etc.

Explanatory method – based on reasoning around the given issue. When delivering the material, the teacher cites a specific example of which

Action-oriented teaching – requires the active involvement of the teacher and the student in the teaching process, where the practical interpretation of the theoretical material acquires special importance [39-41].

Project development and presentation – while working on the project, the student uses the acquired knowledge and skills to solve a real problem. Project-based teaching increases students' motivation and responsibility. Project work includes stages of planning, research, practical activity and presentation of results in accordance with the chosen issue. The project is considered to be implemented if its results are presented in a visible and convincing, correct form. It can be done individually, in pairs or in a group; Also, within one subject or several subjects (integration of subjects). After completion, the project can be presented to a wider audience.

The integration of digital technology has further revolutionized medical education. Innovations like virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and artificial intelligence (AI) offer immersive learning experiences, enabling students to visualize complex anatomical structures, simulate surgical procedures, and receive personalized feedback. Online learning platforms and hybrid models have also expanded access to medical education, allowing for greater flexibility and inclusivity.

Despite these advancements, the implementation of modern teaching methods faces challenges that vary across regions. Resource availability, institutional readiness, faculty training, and cultural attitudes toward education play critical roles in shaping the adoption and effectiveness of these methodologies. For instance, while well-resourced institutions in developed countries have embraced cutting-edge technologies, many institutions in low- and middle-income countries struggle with limited infrastructure and funding. Moreover, the transition to modern methods often requires significant changes in faculty roles, curriculum design, and assessment practices, which can encounter resistance [42-43].

Globally, there is a pressing need to evaluate the effectiveness of these innovative methods through rigorous scientific studies. Understanding their impact on student learning outcomes, professional competencies, and overall healthcare delivery is essential. Furthermore, fostering global collaboration and sharing best practices can help bridge disparities, ensuring that medical education remains equitable and aligned with the global health agenda.

The discussion of modern teaching and learning methods in medical education is not merely academic but a critical exploration of how to prepare future healthcare providers for a rapidly changing world. By addressing the scientific, pedagogical, and practical aspects of these innovations, educators, policymakers, and institutions can work together to shape a more effective and inclusive medical education system [44-46].

**The aim of the study.** Aim of the research was to study key issue facets of manifestation of modern teaching and learning methods in higher education institutions in medical educational study programs directions globally.

**Materials and Methods.** The material of the article was the revised data from scientific publications, which were processed, analyzed, overviewed and reviewed by generalization and systematization. Research studies are based on a review/overview assessment of the development of critical visibility and overlook of the modern scientific literature and our study programs and curriculums. Use the following databases (for extensive literature searches to identify key issue facets of manifestation of modern teaching and learning methods in higher education institutions in medical educational study programs directions globally.): Web of Knowledge, PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, Clinical key, Thomson Reuters, Google Scholar, Cochrane Library, Science Direct, Research Gate and Elsevier Foundations. The methodology for discussing the key issue facets of manifestation of modern teaching and learning methods in higher education institutions in medical educational study programs directions globally.

#### *1. Research Objective*

The study aims to critically analyze recent advancements in modern teaching and learning methods in higher education, with a focus on medical education programs globally. The objective is to identify trends, challenges, and opportunities through a systematic review of academic literature published in the last years.

#### *2. Research Design*

A systematic literature review (SLR) approach will be employed to ensure comprehensive and unbiased coverage of the topic.

*3. Comparative Analysis:* Evaluate the effectiveness and adoption rates of these study methods across different regions and institutions.

*4. Trend Analysis:* Highlight innovations and emerging practices in the last ten years.

#### *5. Reporting Results*

The results will be structured into the following sections:

- Overview of modern teaching and learning methods and variations in their application.
- Evaluation of their effectiveness in medical education, Challenges, limitations, and areas for further research.

#### *6. Ethical Considerations*

As a literature review, this study will not involve human subjects, ensuring compliance with ethical research standards.

**Results and Discussion.** Key issues in modern teaching and learning methods and student-centered learning: One of the primary facets of contemporary medical education is the shift toward student-centered learning. This approach places learners at the forefront of the educational process, encouraging active participation, collaboration, and self-directed learning. Techniques such as problem-based learning (PBL), team-based learning (TBL), and flipped classrooms are increasingly utilized to promote engagement and critical thinking among medical students. Modern learning methods such as case-based learning (CBL), evidence-based medicine (EBM), problem-based learning (PBL), simulation-based learning (SBL), e-learning, peer-assisted learning (PAL), observation learning, flipped classroom models, and team-based learning (TBL) meet diverse learning needs. These approaches address individual learning preferences and enable students to expand their critical thinking, clinical reasoning, and time management skills while deepening their professional knowledge. Early integration of these methods promotes competence and leadership and prepares students for a seamless transition into clinical practice. The study highlights the importance and challenges of adopting modern learning strategies. As technology advances and medical information becomes more complex, students must acquire innovative skills through interprofessional and multidisciplinary education. To meet these needs, medical curricula must be adaptable and incorporate contemporary teaching methods, striking a balance between traditional teaching and modern educational practices.

Knowledge acquisition is a holistic, dynamic, consciously directed, and deeply personal process that involves the interpretation of personal information and experiences. This idea implies that people have an innate tendency to learn when they are in a supportive and motivating environment, and that the learning experience is deeply personal. So, a motivated student is a constant seeker of knowledge, and vice versa. The desire to learn and the desire to understand are innate traits shaped by a person's self-perception, desires, and expectations. Lifelong learning is also a fundamental human ability that is fostered by recognizing our unique learning patterns. Therefore, it is important to encourage independent learning strategies because these are the most sustainable and ambitious. These strategies should align with the responsibilities and priorities that students consider important. Teachers should clearly communicate educational goals and explain how specific activities will help students achieve their goals. Teachers must also understand the individual needs of each student and design activities that meet those needs while emphasizing motivation. Creating a motivating environment can include building social connections (e.g., friendships), meeting expectations, achieving professional growth, and receiving intellectual rewards.

The best educational experiences are self-paced, interactive experiences that encourage student engagement, sharing of ideas, and active participation in discussions [47-50].

In the past, traditional lectures were the cornerstone of learning during the preclinical years. These regular lectures were the main means of imparting knowledge to students. However, this passive learning model has been heavily criticized, leading to the introduction of innovative teaching strategies. Traditional lectures often lack interactive elements and are not dynamic learning tools, which limits students' ability to deepen their understanding through collaboration. Students are increasingly reluctant to participate in academic activities outside the classroom. Modern teaching methods, on the other hand, place the learner at the forefront and make him or her fully responsible for acquiring knowledge. The rapid development of medical systems requires the creation of an educational system that actively involves future specialists in the learning process. Instead of simply listening, students become full participants, participating directly and continuously. These active learning methods have been shown to be more effective than traditional lectures and significantly improve knowledge acquisition, comprehension, long-term retention, and independent learning in medical education [51-53].

In the teaching process, it is impossible to study any specific issue with only one method. The professor has to use different methods in the teaching process, in many cases there is a combination of methods. For example, in a lecture, the lecturer presents the lecture material in the form of a visual presentation accompanied by a verbal explanation; A discussion is held, a task is given to the student for independent work, which he completes in the form of working on a book, making a note, etc. Thus, in the process of teaching and learning, the methods complement each other and move into each other.

There are many classifications of teaching-learning methods, the most common options are presented.

A verbal, i.e. oral study method refers to a learning technique that relies primarily on spoken language for the absorption and retention of information. In this method, information is conveyed and understood through listening, speaking, and discussion, rather than through reading or writing. This can include techniques such as lectures, verbal repetition, oral quizzes, group discussions, or recitation. It emphasizes auditory learning and verbal communication to enhance comprehension and memory retention [54-56].

The method of working on the book refers to a systematic approach to studying or analyzing a book in depth. This method typically involves several stages: Previewing – Skimming the book to get an overview of its structure, main themes, and key ideas. Active Reading – Engaging with the text by reading carefully, taking notes,

highlighting important points, and asking questions as you go. Summarizing – Writing brief summaries of chapters or sections to consolidate understanding and retain information. Critical Analysis – Evaluating the content, arguments, and perspectives presented in the book, and considering their validity and implications. Reviewing – Going over the material multiple times to reinforce learning and deepen comprehension. This method can also include reflective thinking, discussion, and connecting the book's content to other knowledge or experiences [57-58].

The method of written work, which involves the following types of activities: making extracts and notes, summarizing material, drawing up theses, writing a report or essay, etc.

Laboratory method and demonstration method - the latter, in turn, involves the following types of activities: setting up tests, showing video materials, dynamic material, etc.

Practical methods – combines all those forms of teaching that form practical skills of the student, here the student independently performs one or another activity based on the acquired knowledge, for example: Pedagogical practice, field work, etc.

Discussion/debate is one of the most common methods of interactive learning. The discussion process dramatically increases the quality and engagement of students. The discussion can turn into an argument. This process is not limited to the questions asked by the professor. This method develops the student's ability to argue and justify his opinion [59-61].

Collaborative work - teaching with this method involves dividing students into groups and giving them a learning task. Group members work on the issue individually and simultaneously share it with the rest of the group. Depending on the set task, it is possible to redistribute functions among the members during the work of the group. This strategy ensures maximum involvement of all students in the learning process.

Problem-based learning (PBL) – a method that uses a problem as the initial stage of the process of acquiring and integrating new knowledge.

Cooperative teaching is a teaching strategy where each member of the group is obliged not only to learn, but also to help his teammate to learn the subject better. Each group member works on the problem until all of them have mastered the issue.

The heuristic method is based on the step-by-step solution of the problem posed to the students. This task is carried out in the teaching process by identifying the facts independently and seeing the connections between them.

Case study (Case study) – during the lecture, the professor will discuss specific cases with the students, who will study the issue in every way and thoroughly. For example, in the field of medicine, it can be the review and analysis of the history of a

particular patient's illness and so on.

Brain storming - this method involves the formation and expression of as many, preferably radically different, opinions and ideas about a specific issue/problem within a specific topic. This method contributes to the development of a creative approach to the problem. This method is effective in the presence of a large group of students and consists of several main stages: defining the problem/issue from a creative angle. Non-critically writing down (mostly on the board) the ideas surrounding the issue from the audience in a certain period of time. Eliminating ideas that are most relevant to the issue at hand. Defining evaluation criteria to determine the relevance of the idea to the research objective. Evaluation of selected ideas with predetermined criteria. Revealing the idea with the highest rating as the best way to solve the problem [62-64].

Role-Playing and Situational Games – Scenario based on role-plays and allow students to look at an issue from different perspectives and help them develop alternative points of view. Like discussion, role-playing also develops the student's ability to express his position independently and defend it in an argument.

Demonstration method – this method involves presenting information visually. From the point of view of achieving the result, it is quite effective. In many cases, it is better to provide the material to the students simultaneously in audio and visual way. The material to be studied can be demonstrated by both the teacher and the student. This method helps us to make visible the various stages of understanding the learning material, to specify what the students will have to do independently; At the same time, this strategy visualizes the essence of the issue/problem. Demonstration may be simple, such as solving a mathematical problem by displaying its steps on a whiteboard, or complex, such as conducting a multi-step science experiment [65-67].

Induction is a method of reasoning that involves drawing general conclusions from specific observations or instances. Explanation: In the inductive approach, you begin with particular cases, observations, or data points, and from these, infer a broader generalization or theory. This method is often used in scientific research where repeated observations lead to the formation of hypotheses or laws. For example, observing that the sun rises every day and concluding that the sun always rises in the east is an inductive inference. Application in Study: In studying, induction helps students make connections by analyzing patterns or trends across multiple cases to form a broader understanding of a subject or concept [68-69].

Deduction is a method of reasoning that starts with a general principle or theory and applies it to specific instances to draw a conclusion. In the deductive approach, you begin with a general statement, premise, or theory and use it to predict or explain specific observations. This process is logical and moves from a broad theory to a

specific conclusion. In studying, deduction allows learners to apply established theories or rules to specific problems or examples, which is useful in fields like mathematics, logic, or law.

Team-based learning (TBL) has been shown to be one of the most effective learning approaches in medical education, emphasizing a student-centered structure. In this method, small groups of students carry out activities that promote the practical application of theoretical concepts. Team learning includes critical thinking, individual and collaborative tasks, brainstorming sessions, and immediate instructor feedback. It offers significant benefits by improving communication and teamwork skills in groups of students, essential skills for effective patient care. Compared to problem-based learning (PBL), team learning encourages greater student participation. One of the main benefits of team learning is that it encourages students to solve problems and make decisions together, which increases motivation, facilitates the discovery of concepts, and promotes deeper understanding. Instructors who facilitate small group discussions using a team-based learning model have shown better student performance on exams compared to traditional lecture-based learning.

Analysis is the process of breaking down a complex topic or substance into its components to better understand its structure or function. In analysis, you examine the individual parts of a whole, studying their relationships and functions in isolation to better understand the entire system. This method is crucial in critical thinking, allowing a detailed and in-depth examination of various elements within a concept, problem, or text. For example, analyzing a novel would involve looking at its plot, characters, themes, and language style separately. Analysis is often used in studying literature, sciences, and humanities, where learners must dissect and examine ideas, arguments, and data to understand the core aspects of a subject [70-72].

Synthesis is the process of combining different ideas, information, or components to form a cohesive whole or new understanding. In synthesis, you take the analyzed parts of a concept or data and integrate them to form a new, unified perspective. This method moves from individual elements to an overall understanding, allowing the learner to create something new from previously separate pieces of knowledge. For example, after analyzing various sources in an essay, synthesis would involve combining these viewpoints into a single, coherent argument. Synthesis is used to combine knowledge from different areas to create new insights or solutions. It's essential in research writing, problem-solving, and the development of new ideas in disciplines like philosophy, history, and science.

*Summary:*

- Induction: From specific to general (forming theories from observations).

- Deduction: From general to specific (applying theories to specific cases).
- Analysis: Breaking down complex information into simpler components.
- Synthesis: Combining elements to create a new whole or understanding.

The inductive method of teaching defines such a form of knowledge of any subject, when the course of thought in the learning process is directed from particular to concrete, from facts to generalization, that is, when conveying material, the process proceeds from concrete to general.

The deductive method of teaching defines a form of transfer of any subject knowledge, which is a logical process of discovering new knowledge based on general knowledge, that is, the process proceeds from the general to the specific.

In the learning process, the method of analysis helps us to break down the learning material as a whole into its component parts, thereby facilitating the detailed coverage of individual issues within complex problems.

The synthesis method involves the reverse procedure, that is, by grouping separate issues to form a single whole. This method helps to develop the ability to see problems as a whole.

Explanatory method – based on reasoning around the given issue. When presenting the material, the professor cites a specific example, which is discussed in detail within the given topic.

Action-oriented teaching – requires the active involvement of the professor and the student in the teaching process, where the practical interpretation of the theoretical material acquires special importance [73-75].

Electronic learning (E-learning) – this method includes three types of teaching: attended, when the teaching process takes place within the contact hours of the professor and students, and the transfer of educational material is carried out through an electronic course. Hybrid (face-to-face/distance), the main part of the teaching takes place at a distance, and a small part is carried out within the framework of contact hours. Completely distance learning involves conducting the learning process without the physical presence of the professor. The training course is conducted from the beginning to the end remotely in an electronic format. In addition to the given basic methods, there are many teaching methods that the teacher can choose depending on the specific learning task.

Teaching-learning methods and corresponding activities and main teaching learning methods: lecture, seminar, laboratory and practical work; training and production practices; course work/project; bachelor's, master's and doctoral theses; e-learning; consultation [76-78].

A lecture is a creative process in which the lecturer and the student participate

simultaneously. The main goal of the lecture is to understand the idea of the provisions of the studied subject, which implies a creative and active perception of the presented material. In addition, attention should be paid to the basic provisions, definitions, designations, and assumptions of the transmitted material. Critical analysis of key issues, facts and ideas is required. The lecture should provide a scientific and logically coherent introduction to the basic tenets of the studied subject without overloading it with unnecessary details. Therefore, it must be logically complete. In addition, facts, examples, diagrams, drawings, experiments and other visual aids should serve to explain the idea of the lecture.

The lecture should provide a correct analysis of the dialectical process of science and should be learned in a specific environment by focusing on the ability of students to think freely, to know and understand basic scientific problems [79-81].

The material heard at the lecture is formed into a whole knowledge system by the independent work of the student. The student should be interested in books and other sources of information and the desire to study issues independently, which is a means of stimulating independent thinking, analysis and drawing conclusions [82-84].

Based on the main purpose of the lecture, the right to read it should be given only to experienced teachers, since their theoretical knowledge, practical experience and pedagogical skill are the guarantee of conducting the lecture at a high level. During the processing of the methodical issues of the lecture, the teacher should focus on the sequence of the transfer of the material, the style of the lecture, and the connection with the audience. The lecture should be conducted with the active participation of students, methodical means and extensive use of visual aids.

Theoretical material, which is given in lectures, is well understood by seminars, laboratory and practical studies [85-86]

The purpose of the seminar (group work) is to give students an opportunity to deepen the topics heard during the lecture. Under the guidance of the leading professor or the leading teacher of the seminar, a student or a group of students will search for and process additional information, prepare a presentation, write an essay, etc. At the seminar, reports will be heard, discussions will be held, and conclusions will be made. The head teacher of the seminar coordinates the purposeful management of these processes.

Laboratory work is more visible and allows the perception of this or that event or process. In the laboratory, the student learns to conduct an experiment. During the laboratory studies, the student should learn how to set up, adjust and operate the equipment.

Habits developed in experiential learning laboratories allow understanding of

theoretical material heard in lectures. It involves the following types of actions: setting tests, showing video material, dynamic material, etc.

The purpose of practical work is the gradual study of theoretical material through the solution of specific tasks, which is the basis for developing habits of independent use of theoretical material. The head of practical education should focus on the methodology of problem solving, the execution of drawings, sketches, schemes, the use of appropriate techniques in calculations, etc.

Practice (educational and industrial) serves to deepen and strengthen the knowledge acquired by the student. It develops the ability to apply knowledge in practice, to use methods specific to the studied subject to solve problems. It combines all the teaching methods that form the student's practical skills. In this case, the student independently performs this or that action based on the acquired knowledge, for example, pedagogical practice, field work, etc.

Course work/project is a creative process. Each new building, machine, tool, automatic device, etc. is created according to the project. The design process is a combination of theory and practice. During the teaching period, the student completes graphic assignments and course projects, which are, in fact, the student's first independent work, although it is carried out under the guidance of the teacher. A bachelor's, master's and doctoral thesis is the final stage of a separate level of education in a higher educational institution, and its purpose is to systematize the theoretical and practical knowledge gained in the specialty and to provide a substantiated solution to specific scientific, technical, economic or production tasks. The paper should reveal the level of mastery of research methods and experiments related to the issues and the student's readiness for independent work in the future professional activity. The work is supervised by an experienced teacher.

Electronic learning (E-learning) – refers to teaching through the Internet and multimedia tools. It includes all components of the teaching process (goals, content, methods, means, etc.), which are realized by specific means. E-learning is of three types: Attended when the teaching process takes place within the contact hours of the teacher and students, and the transfer of educational material is carried out through an electronic course; Distance learning involves conducting the learning process without the physical presence of the professor. The training course is conducted from start to finish remotely, in electronic format; Hybrid (face-to-face/distance) - the main part of teaching takes place remotely, and a small part is carried out within the framework of contact hours [87-88].

Consultations should help the student, with the help of the teacher, to master the habits of independent work, to correctly conduct work on educational literature and

other sources, and to clarify the issues raised during independent work.

CBCR (Case-Based Clinical Reasoning) is an educational approach commonly used in medical and health sciences education to help students develop clinical reasoning skills. In this method, learners are presented with real or simulated clinical cases that they must analyze and solve using their knowledge, problem-solving skills, and reasoning abilities.

*Key Features of Case-Based Clinical Reasoning (CBCR):*

✓ Case-based learning: The method focuses on real-world clinical cases, which often mimic the complexity and unpredictability of actual patient scenarios. These cases encourage students to think like healthcare professionals by diagnosing and treating patients based on the information provided.

✓ Interactive and collaborative: CBCR is often conducted in groups, where students discuss the cases, share insights, and collaborate to reach a diagnosis or treatment plan. This interactive setting helps promote peer learning and enhances critical thinking.

✓ Focus on reasoning: Rather than just memorizing facts, students are encouraged to apply their knowledge to reason through cases, considering differentials, clinical symptoms, diagnostic tests, and possible interventions.

✓ Integration of theoretical knowledge and practice: CBCR helps bridge the gap between theoretical learning and practical application by integrating basic sciences with clinical practice.

✓ Iterative process: As students work through the case, they often receive feedback, are presented with new information, or are asked to reflect on their reasoning, making it an iterative process that enhances learning.

✓ Role of the facilitator: Instructors or facilitators guide the discussion but do not provide direct answers. Their role is to challenge students' thinking, ask probing questions, and help learners explore the case from different perspectives.

Benefits of Case-Based Clinical Reasoning (CBCR): Enhances clinical decision-making skills; Promotes active learning and critical thinking; Encourages teamwork and communication; Prepares students for real-life clinical problem-solving. This method is widely used in medical schools, nursing education, and other health professions to train students in thinking like clinicians.

CBD (Case-Based Discussion) is a structured, formative assessment tool used in medical education to evaluate and improve a learner's clinical reasoning, decision-making, and application of knowledge to real patient cases. It is typically part of workplace-based assessment (WBA) frameworks, focusing on reflective learning through in-depth discussion of clinical cases the learner has been involved with.

*Key Features of (Case-Based Discussion) CBD:*

- ✓ Focus on real cases: The discussion revolves around a clinical case that the learner has directly managed or been involved in. It is typically drawn from actual clinical practice rather than hypothetical scenarios.
- ✓ Reflective discussion: The method involves a structured dialogue between the learner and an experienced clinician (usually a mentor, supervisor, or assessor) about the clinical reasoning and decisions made during the management of the case. The focus is on reflection, exploring why certain decisions were made, and considering alternatives.
- ✓ Evaluation of clinical reasoning: CBD is designed to assess how well the learner can apply clinical knowledge to real-life situations, taking into account patient history, diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up. It emphasizes clinical reasoning and the thought process behind medical decisions rather than just the outcomes.
- ✓ Interactive and formative: CBD is an interactive method where the learner and the assessor explore the case together. The goal is formative, meaning it is aimed at providing feedback to the learner to enhance their skills rather than simply giving a grade or judgment.
- ✓ Customizable to learning needs: The discussion can be tailored to the learner's level of expertise and the specific competencies being assessed. Different aspects of the case, such as diagnosis, management, communication skills, ethical considerations, or teamwork, can be explored based on the individual's learning objectives.
- ✓ Documentation and feedback: The assessor provides feedback, which is typically documented to track the learner's progress over time. The feedback is usually focused on areas for improvement, strengths, and any learning opportunities identified during the discussion.

*Steps in a (Case-Based Discussion) CBD:*

- ✓ Case selection: The learner selects a clinical case they have managed that offers scope for discussion on clinical reasoning and decision-making.
- ✓ Preparation: The learner reviews the case details, including patient history, diagnosis, treatment, and outcome. The assessor may also review relevant case notes or patient data.
- ✓ Discussion: A structured discussion takes place between the learner and assessor, covering the learner's reasoning, decision-making, and understanding of the case. The assessor may ask open-ended questions to probe the learner's knowledge and approach.
- ✓ Feedback: The assessor provides detailed, constructive feedback, focusing on what the learner did well and areas where they can improve.

✓ Reflection: Learners are encouraged to reflect on the feedback and the case to identify learning points and future improvements.

Benefits of (Case-Based Discussion) CBD: Helps learners develop deeper clinical reasoning and critical thinking; Provides an opportunity for personalized feedback based on real clinical experience; Encourages reflection on clinical practice, promoting lifelong learning; Assists in identifying learning needs and areas for improvement. Commonly Used In: Medical education and postgraduate training (e.g., residency programs). Other healthcare professions like nursing, dentistry, and allied health. (Case-Based Discussion) CBD is particularly valuable for developing clinicians as it integrates clinical experience with academic learning, offering a well-rounded approach to building competency in real-world medical practice.

CBL (Case-Based Learning) is an instructional approach that uses real-life or simulated cases to facilitate learning. It is widely used in various educational settings, particularly in medical, healthcare, legal, and business education. The primary goal of CBL is to engage students in active learning by having them apply theoretical knowledge to practical, real-world scenarios.

*Key Features of (Case-Based Learning) CBL:*

➤ Realistic Cases: Learning is centered on realistic, complex cases that mimic real-world problems or situations. These cases provide context and practical application for theoretical knowledge, making learning more relevant and meaningful.

➤ Learner-Centered Approach: CBL encourages active participation from students, who must analyze the case, identify key issues, and propose solutions. This contrasts with more passive forms of learning, such as lectures, where students are primarily recipients of information.

➤ Application of Knowledge: Students are required to apply what they have learned in the classroom to the case. This enhances understanding and retention by demonstrating how concepts and theories work in practice.

➤ Critical Thinking and Problem-Solving: CBL fosters critical thinking by challenging students to evaluate the information presented in the case, consider multiple perspectives, weigh evidence, and make decisions.

➤ Collaborative Learning: In many CBL settings, students work in groups, promoting teamwork, communication, and collaboration. The exchange of ideas and perspectives within a group setting helps deepen understanding.

➤ Instructor as Facilitator: Instructors guide the learning process rather than providing direct answers. Their role is to ask probing questions, offer feedback, and support students in their exploration of the case.

➤ Reflection and Discussion: Students reflect on their reasoning and decisions

during or after the case analysis. Group discussions often allow for the sharing of different viewpoints and deepen the learning experience.

*Steps in (Case-Based Learning) CBL:*

- **Presentation of the Case:** Students are introduced to a case, typically involving a real-world problem or scenario relevant to the subject area.
- **Case Analysis:** Students analyze the case by identifying key problems, considering possible solutions, and applying theoretical knowledge to the situation.
- **Discussion and Collaboration:** Students discuss the case in groups or with the instructor, sharing ideas, debating different approaches, and learning from each other's perspectives.
- **Solution and Application:** Based on their analysis and discussion, students propose solutions or make decisions regarding the case. They may be asked to justify their choices and consider the implications of their actions.
- **Feedback and Reflection:** Instructors provide feedback, and students reflect on their learning process, analyzing what they did well and areas they could improve upon.

**Benefits of (Case-Based Learning) CBL:** Promotes active learning and student engagement; Enhances critical thinking, problem-solving, and decision-making skills; Bridges the gap between theory and practice; Encourages collaborative and team-based learning; Improves retention of knowledge by linking learning to real-world experiences.

**Commonly Used In:** Medical and healthcare education (e.g., diagnosis, patient management, and ethical dilemmas); Business and management studies (e.g., strategy, marketing, and leadership); Legal education (e.g., analyzing court cases, legal principles, and ethics).

(Case-Based Learning) CBL is particularly effective because it creates a more engaging and applied learning experience that mirrors the complexity of real-world situations, helping students become better problem-solvers and critical thinkers.

**DOPS (Direct Observation of Procedural Skills)** is an assessment tool used in medical and healthcare education to evaluate a learner's technical and procedural skills in real-time clinical settings. The method involves an experienced clinician directly observing the learner as they perform a specific clinical procedure, providing immediate feedback aimed at improving performance and competence.

*Key Features of (Direct Observation of Procedural Skills) DOPS:*

- **Real-Time Observation:** The learner is observed performing a procedure on a real patient or in a simulated clinical setting. This observation allows the assessor to evaluate technical proficiency, professionalism, and patient care during the procedure.
- **Wide Range of Procedures:** DOPS can be used to assess various procedural

skills, such as taking blood samples, inserting IV lines, suturing, conducting a physical exam, or performing more complex surgical procedures, depending on the learner's level.

➤ **Structured and Specific:** The assessment is structured around specific criteria relevant to the procedure being observed. These criteria typically include technical ability, knowledge of the procedure, communication with the patient, hygiene and safety measures, and professional behavior.

➤ **Immediate Feedback:** After the procedure, the assessor provides immediate feedback to the learner, highlighting strengths and areas for improvement. This real-time feedback is crucial for helping learners adjust and refine their technique.

➤ **Formative and Summative:** DOPS is often used for formative purposes, meaning the goal is to help the learner improve through feedback and guidance. However, it can also be used in summative assessments, contributing to formal evaluations of competency during training programs.

➤ **Brief and Focused:** DOPS assessments are typically short (10–20 minutes), focusing on a specific skill or procedure. This allows for repeated assessments over time to track progress and development.

➤ **Assessor Role:** The assessor (usually a more senior clinician, supervisor, or mentor) not only observes the technical execution but also evaluates non-technical skills such as communication, patient consent, and professionalism.

Steps in (Direct Observation of Procedural Skills) DOPS:

- **Pre-procedure:** The learner is briefed about the procedure they will perform. The assessor ensures the learner understands the purpose of the procedure, patient consent, and safety protocols.

- **Direct Observation:** The assessor observes the learner performing the procedure. This observation covers all aspects, from preparation and interaction with the patient to the execution of the procedure itself.

- **Assessment:** The assessor uses a standardized form or checklist to evaluate the learner's performance. This typically includes areas such as: Technical skill and accuracy; Knowledge of the procedure; Communication with the patient; Maintenance of a sterile field (where applicable); Time management and efficiency.

**Immediate Feedback:** After the procedure, the assessor provides immediate feedback, discussing what the learner did well and areas that need improvement. The learner may also reflect on their own performance. **Documentation:** The observation and feedback are documented, and the learner may be given a rating or score based on their performance. These records can be used to track progress over time.

**Benefits of (Direct Observation of Procedural Skills) DOPS:** Provides real-time

evaluation of practical skills in a clinical setting; Encourages immediate feedback and reflection, helping learners improve quickly; Enhances the learner's confidence in performing clinical procedures; Allows for focused learning on both technical and non-technical skills, such as communication and professionalism; Helps track progress over time, identifying areas where further training or practice is needed. (Direct Observation of Procedural Skills) DOPS is particularly useful because it provides structured, formative assessment in a real-world context, allowing healthcare professionals to refine their skills and ensure competency in performing clinical procedures safely and effectively.

Entrustable Professional Activities (EPAs) are a critical tool in modern, competency-based education as they focus on real-world tasks and ensure that learners are truly prepared for independent practice before being entrusted with professional responsibilities. Entrustable Professional Activities (EPAs) are specific, observable tasks or responsibilities that can be entrusted to a learner once they have demonstrated the necessary competence to perform them independently. EPAs are widely used in competency-based medical and healthcare education to assess a learner's readiness for practice. They are designed to bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical, real-world skills.

*Key Features of Entrustable Professional Activities (EPAs):*

- ✓ Units of Professional Practice: EPAs are real-world tasks or responsibilities that healthcare professionals are expected to perform in their daily practice. Examples include taking a patient's history, prescribing medication, or managing a clinical team. Each EPA represents a key activity within a profession.
- ✓ Observable and Measurable: EPAs are concrete activities that can be directly observed and measured. This allows supervisors or assessors to make objective judgments about a learner's competence based on their performance.
- ✓ Linked to Competency: While EPAs are the practical tasks, they are directly linked to underlying competencies such as medical knowledge, communication skills, clinical reasoning, professionalism, and patient care. Completing an EPA successfully indicates that the learner has integrated these competencies.
- ✓ Trustworthiness: The concept of "entrustment" is central to EPAs. Learners must demonstrate that they can perform the activity safely and independently before being entrusted with it. This means that supervisors must feel confident that the learner can carry out the task without supervision in a variety of situations.
- ✓ Gradual Entrustment: Learners move through different levels of entrustment, from needing close supervision to performing the activity independently. EPAs recognize that learners develop competence over time, and assessment is designed to track this

progression.

✓ **Context-Specific:** EPAs are tailored to specific professions and contexts. The tasks that are considered essential or "entrustable" for a physician, for example, may differ from those for a nurse, dentist, or allied health professional.

**Levels of Entrustment:** Typically, Entrustable Professional Activities (EPAs) are assessed on a scale that represents the degree of supervision a learner requires. Common levels include:

- **Observation Only:** The learner is not yet allowed to perform the task but can observe others doing it.
- **Direct Supervision:** The learner can perform the task, but only with close supervision (e.g., a senior clinician is in the room).
- **Indirect Supervision:** The learner can perform the task with a supervisor nearby but not in the room, available for help if needed.
- **Supervision at a Distance:** The learner can perform the task without direct oversight but may consult a supervisor when necessary.
- **Independent Practice:** The learner is fully entrusted to perform the task independently and can supervise others.

**Example Entrustable Professional Activities (EPAs) in Healthcare:** For medical students, residents, or other healthcare professionals, some common EPAs might include; Taking a comprehensive patient history; Performing and interpreting a physical examination; Formulating a differential diagnosis; Documenting and presenting clinical findings; Prescribing and managing medications; Collaborating with an interprofessional healthcare team; Communicating with patients and their families.

Observational learning involves acquiring knowledge through demonstration, a crucial aspect in healthcare where ensuring patient safety is fundamental. The development of motor skills is a fundamental part of medical proficiency and must be taught and refined with great precision. Many medical tasks are considered open-ended skills that require physicians to adapt to unpredictable and constantly changing situations (e.g., tracheal intubation and surgical suturing). The process behind this learning method is rooted in the mirror neuron system of the premotor cortex, which plays a role in imitating actions observed in others. Observational learning depends on the active involvement of the motor system and requires the implicit involvement of the observer for effective learning. In addition, immediate feedback is believed to be effective not only during hands-on practice but also during observation. There is evidence that combining observational learning with physical exercise may be more beneficial than relying on physical exercise alone. Observational techniques are essential for mastering complex medical procedures and promoting learning and skill

development through practice-based observation. Practicing motor skills is essential for improving performance in medical procedures, and understanding the mechanisms underlying these movements plays an important role in refining training programs. The approaches achieved using this method promote adaptability, optimize motivation, and improve cognitive processing. In addition, skill acquisition is accelerated through visual-spatial representation, creating vivid mental images in working memory.

**Assessment of Entrustable Professional Activities (EPAs):** Assessment of EPAs is based on direct observation of the learner performing the activity. Supervisors use standardized checklists or rubrics to evaluate performance and determine the level of supervision required. Feedback is a key component, helping learners understand their strengths and areas for improvement.

**Benefits of Entrustable Professional Activities (EPAs):** Competency-Based: EPAs ensure that learners are assessed based on their ability to perform real-world tasks, making the training process more relevant to professional practice; Clear Progression: The entrustment model provides a clear, structured path for learners as they develop their skills, from needing close supervision to independent practice; Practical Application: EPAs focus on practical tasks that professionals need to master, bridging the gap between theoretical learning and practical, clinical application. Personalized Learning: Learners receive personalized feedback based on their performance, allowing them to focus on areas that need further development; Patient Safety: The entrustment process ensures that learners are only entrusted with tasks when they have demonstrated sufficient competence, helping to ensure patient safety. Commonly Used In: Medical and healthcare education (e.g., residency and fellowship programs); Nursing education (e.g., entrusting nurses with independent patient care responsibilities); Allied health professions (e.g., physical therapists, pharmacists, and other clinical roles).

The Mini-CEX (Mini Clinical Evaluation Exercise) is a structured, workplace-based assessment tool used in medical education to evaluate a learner's clinical skills in real-time clinical practice. It involves direct observation of a trainee during a brief patient encounter, followed by immediate feedback from an experienced clinician or supervisor. The Mini-CEX is designed to assess a variety of clinical competencies, such as patient interaction, communication, clinical reasoning, and professionalism, in a real-world context.

*Key Features of (Mini Clinical Evaluation Exercise) Mini-CEX:*

➤ **Direct Observation:** A supervisor observes the trainee during a short clinical encounter with a real patient (usually lasting around 10-20 minutes). The encounter may involve history-taking, physical examination, diagnosis, patient counseling, or

treatment planning.

- **Broad Skill Assessment:** The Mini-CEX allows for the assessment of multiple clinical skills, such as: History-taking: Gathering and interpreting patient history; Physical examination: Performing a focused and effective clinical examination; Clinical reasoning: Formulating a differential diagnosis and treatment plan; Communication: Interacting with patients, explaining diagnoses, and delivering clear instructions; Professionalism: Demonstrating empathy, respect, and ethical behavior; Organizational skills: Managing time effectively and ensuring smooth patient flow.
- **Immediate Feedback:** After the encounter, the supervisor provides immediate, structured feedback to the learner, highlighting strengths and areas for improvement. This formative feedback is essential for guiding the trainee's development.
- **Short and Focused:** The Mini-CEX is brief and focused on specific competencies or elements of patient care, allowing for multiple assessments across a range of clinical scenarios over time.
- **Formative and Summative Use:** Although primarily formative (focused on improving skills through feedback), the Mini-CEX can also be used summative to contribute to formal evaluations of a trainee's competency at various stages of their education.
- **Standardized Evaluation:** The supervisor completes a structured evaluation form, rating the learner's performance across several domains on a numerical scale (e.g., 1-9), where higher scores reflect greater competence. Commonly assessed domains include clinical judgment, communication skills, organization, and professionalism.
- **Frequent and Flexible:** Mini-CEX assessments can be repeated frequently during clinical rotations to capture the learner's development over time. It is flexible, allowing assessments to take place in various clinical settings (inpatient, outpatient, emergency, etc.) and across different types of patient cases.

*Steps in (Mini Clinical Evaluation Exercise) Mini-CEX:*

- **Case Selection:** A real patient case is chosen for the learner to engage with, either as part of routine clinical practice or specifically for the assessment.
- **Observation:** The supervisor observes the learner interacting with the patient, performing tasks such as history-taking, physical examination, or patient counseling.
- **Assessment:** After the encounter, the supervisor completes the Mini-CEX evaluation form, rating the learner in various skill areas. The ratings are typically on a scale (e.g., 1-9), with higher scores indicating greater competency.
- **Feedback:** The supervisor provides immediate feedback, discussing the learner's performance, strengths, and areas for improvement. Feedback is specific, constructive, and designed to help the learner grow in their clinical skills.

➤ **Reflection and Action Plan:** The learner reflects on the feedback and, with the help of the supervisor, develops an action plan for further improvement. This may include targeted practice or additional study in certain areas.

*Domains Commonly Assessed in (Mini Clinical Evaluation Exercise) Mini-CEX:*

- **History-taking:** Ability to gather relevant patient information.
- **Physical examination:** Skill in conducting a focused, effective exam.
- **Clinical judgment:** Diagnostic reasoning, decision-making, and treatment planning.
- **Communication skills:** Clarity and empathy in interacting with patients and their families.
- **Professionalism:** Ethical behavior, respect, and rapport-building with patients.
- **Time management:** Efficient use of time and organization in patient care.

**Benefits of (Mini Clinical Evaluation Exercise) Mini-CEX:**

- **Real-Time Assessment:** Direct observation in a real clinical setting ensures that learners are evaluated on their actual performance, not just theoretical knowledge.
- **Personalized Feedback:** Immediate, targeted feedback helps trainees focus on specific areas for improvement.
- **Frequent and Flexible:** Multiple, brief assessments over time allow for tracking of progress and improvement.
- **Broad Assessment of Skills:** Mini-CEX covers a wide range of essential clinical competencies, ensuring comprehensive evaluation.
- **Learner Development:** The focus on formative feedback encourages continuous learning and development.

**Mini Clinical Evaluation Exercise Commonly Used In:** Medical education: Particularly during clinical rotations for medical students, interns, and residents; Nursing education: To assess practical nursing skills in clinical settings. Allied health education: For clinical professions such as physiotherapy, dentistry, and pharmacy. The Mini-CEX is a valuable tool for assessing and improving clinical performance, helping learners develop the skills necessary for competent, patient-centered care.

The Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE) is a widely used assessment method in medical, nursing, and allied health education to evaluate a learner's clinical competence in a structured and standardized way. The OSCE assesses a range of clinical skills, including history-taking, physical examination, clinical reasoning, communication, and professionalism, in a controlled, simulated clinical environment.

*Key Features of Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE):*

- **Structured Stations:** The OSCE consists of multiple stations (typically 10-20), each focusing on a different clinical task or scenario. Each station is designed to assess

specific skills, such as diagnosing a patient, performing a physical exam, interpreting test results, or counseling a patient.

- **Standardized Patients:** Many OSCEs use trained actors or simulated patients to portray clinical scenarios, ensuring standardization. These standardized patients follow a script, making the assessment consistent for all learners.
- **Time-Limited:** Each station is time-limited, typically lasting 5 to 10 minutes, and learners must complete the task within that time frame. This tests not only clinical competence but also time management and the ability to think on one's feet.
- **Objective Scoring:** Each station has clear objectives and a standardized checklist or rubric that the examiner uses to evaluate the learner's performance. The scoring system ensures that the assessment is objective and minimizes bias. Skills such as technical proficiency, communication, and clinical reasoning are scored based on the checklist.
- **Simulated Real-Life Scenarios:** The stations are designed to reflect realistic clinical challenges that healthcare professionals face in practice. This allows learners to demonstrate how they apply theoretical knowledge in practical, patient-centered situations.
- **Holistic Assessment:** The Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE) assesses a broad range of skills, including:
  - Clinical knowledge: The ability to diagnose and manage clinical conditions;
  - Technical skills: Proficiency in performing specific procedures (e.g., suturing, administering injections);
  - Communication: Effective interaction with patients, explaining diagnoses, and delivering treatment plans;
  - Professionalism: Demonstrating empathy, ethical conduct, and respect for patients;
  - Clinical reasoning: Formulating differential diagnoses and decision-making based on clinical data.
- **Formative and Summative Use:** Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCEs) can be used for both formative (developmental) and summative (final) assessments. Formative OSCEs help learners identify areas for improvement, while summative OSCEs evaluate competence at the end of a course or training program.

**Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE) Format: Pre-Set Stations:** Learners rotate through a series of stations, each with a different task. Tasks may include history-taking, performing a physical examination, counseling a patient, interpreting diagnostic tests, or demonstrating technical procedures; **Simulated Clinical Scenarios:** Each station simulates a specific scenario, such as diagnosing a patient with chest pain, performing a neurological exam, or counseling a patient on smoking cessation; **Checklists and Rubrics:** Each station has an examiner (usually a clinician or educator) who observes the learner's performance and scores them based on a

structured checklist. These checklists outline specific actions or steps the learner must perform to demonstrate competence; Immediate Feedback: In some OSCEs, particularly formative ones, learners receive immediate feedback after completing each station. This feedback helps them understand their strengths and areas for improvement.

*Commonly Assessed Skills in Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE):*

- History-taking: Gathering relevant information from the patient about their symptoms and medical history.
- Physical examination: Performing appropriate clinical exams based on the patient's presenting symptoms.
- Diagnosis and treatment planning: Developing a differential diagnosis and formulating a management plan.
- Communication: Effectively explaining diagnoses, treatment options, and procedures to patients in an understandable and empathetic manner.
- Procedural skills: Demonstrating technical skills like suturing, inserting IVs, or performing CPR.
- Ethics and professionalism: Showing respect, empathy, and appropriate professional behavior during patient interactions.

Benefits of Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE): Standardized Assessment: The structured format and standardized patients ensure that all learners are assessed in a consistent, objective way; Comprehensive Skill Evaluation: OSCEs assess not only knowledge but also practical, technical, and interpersonal skills, giving a holistic view of a learner's clinical competence; Realistic Scenarios: Simulating real-world clinical tasks helps prepare learners for actual clinical practice; Immediate Feedback and Reflection: In some OSCEs, learners receive immediate feedback, which promotes reflection and targeted learning.

Objective Structured Clinical Examination Commonly Used In: Medical education: OSCEs are often used in medical schools, residency programs, and for board certification exams; Nursing education: Nursing students are assessed on practical skills, such as patient care and clinical decision-making; Allied health professions: OSCEs are also used in other healthcare fields like dentistry, pharmacy, physiotherapy, and paramedicine.

Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE) Strengths: Objectivity: The use of checklists and standardized patients ensures objective, unbiased assessment; Practical focus: The OSCE assesses the application of knowledge in real-life scenarios, ensuring learners are practice-ready; Flexible: OSCEs can be adapted to assess a wide

variety of clinical skills across different disciplines; The Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE) is a powerful tool in healthcare education, as it effectively evaluates not just what learners know, but how well they can apply their knowledge and skills in real-world clinical situations.

The Objectively Structured Practical Exam (OSPE) is an assessment tool similar to the OSCE but is primarily used in laboratory-based, practical, or pre-clinical subjects, particularly in medical, dental, pharmacy, and allied health education. While OSCE focuses on clinical skills in patient care, the OSPE is designed to evaluate technical and practical skills in a more controlled, often laboratory-like environment.

*Key Features of Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSPE):*

- **Multiple Stations:** Like the OSCE, the OSPE consists of a series of timed stations (usually 5-15 stations). Each station is designed to test a specific skill or set of skills in a practical setting, such as identifying anatomical structures, performing a laboratory experiment, or interpreting medical data.
- **Objective and Structured:** Each station is highly structured with a clear set of tasks or problems that the learner must complete. A standardized checklist or rubric is used to ensure objective assessment, reducing subjectivity and bias.
- **Hands-On Skills:** The focus of the OSPE is on assessing practical, technical skills, often in a laboratory or simulated clinical setting. These skills could include: Specimen identification (e.g., identifying anatomical specimens or cells under a microscope); Measurement tasks (e.g., blood pressure, or spirometry); Instrument handling (e.g., proper use of a centrifuge or microscope); Data interpretation (e.g., analyzing lab results or physiological readings); Procedure demonstrations (e.g., suturing on a model, IV insertion on a mannequin).
- **Non-Clinical Focus:** Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSPEs) are generally used for evaluating pre-clinical or non-patient care skills, such as laboratory techniques, anatomy, physiology, pharmacology, or biochemistry, in contrast to OSCEs, which focus more on patient interaction and clinical care.
- **Rotation through Stations:** Learners rotate through different stations, each focused on a specific task. Some stations may involve hands-on procedures, while others may require the interpretation of data or answering questions about a practical task. Each station typically lasts around 5–10 minutes.
- **Checklist-Based Evaluation:** Examiners use a predefined checklist at each station to assess the learner's performance objectively. The checklist typically includes specific actions or outcomes that the learner must demonstrate to score points.
- **No Patient Interaction:** Unlike the OSCE, which often involves direct interaction with standardized patients or actors, the OSPE is more focused on technical and

laboratory skills. However, some stations may include theoretical questions or decision-making based on practical tasks.

*OSPE Format:*

✓ **Pre-Set Stations:** Learners are presented with different tasks at each station. These tasks may include performing a laboratory technique, identifying anatomical features, or analyzing experimental data.

✓ **Rotation:** Learners rotate through the stations in a timed manner. The format allows for the assessment of a broad range of practical skills in a relatively short period.

✓ **Assessment:** At each station, the learner's performance is evaluated using a standardized checklist or rating scale. The assessment may include: Correctness of procedures; Accuracy of data interpretation; Proper use of instruments or equipment; Ability to identify anatomical structures or lab specimens; Time management and efficiency in completing the tasks.

✓ **Immediate Feedback:** While not always standard, some OSPEs may include formative feedback after completion, helping learners understand areas for improvement.

**Domains Commonly Assessed in Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSPE):**

✓ **Practical skills:** Performing technical procedures in a lab environment (e.g., preparing slides, using diagnostic tools, dissection, etc.).

✓ **Instrument handling:** Proper and safe use of laboratory or clinical instruments (e.g., microscope, sphygmomanometer).

✓ **Knowledge application:** Applying theoretical knowledge to practical tasks, such as interpreting lab results or understanding physiological data.

✓ **Problem-solving and decision-making:** Ability to solve practical problems and make informed decisions during hands-on tasks.

✓ **Time management:** Completing tasks efficiently within the time allocated at each station.

**Benefits of Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSPE):** Objective Assessment: The use of standardized checklists makes the assessment objective, reducing examiner bias; Wide Range of Skills: The OSPE can assess a broad range of technical and practical skills, from basic lab techniques to more complex decision-making tasks; Hands-On Focus: The exam emphasizes practical, hands-on experience, ensuring that learners are competent in technical procedures required for their field; Controlled Environment: The practical, non-clinical setting allows for a more controlled and focused assessment of technical skills; Fair and Standardized: Since all learners perform the same tasks under the same conditions, the OSPE ensures fairness and consistency in assessment.

Differences Between OSPE and OSCE: Focus: OSPE is focused on assessing technical and practical skills in pre-clinical settings, such as laboratories or simulation environments, while OSCE evaluates clinical skills such as patient interaction and communication; Setting: OSPE is typically conducted in labs or controlled environments without patient interaction, whereas OSCEs often involve real or standardized patients; Skills Assessed: OSPE assesses skills like instrument use, data interpretation, and technical procedures, while OSCE evaluates broader clinical skills like history-taking, diagnosis, and patient counseling.

Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSPE) Commonly Used In: Medical education: Particularly in pre-clinical subjects like anatomy, physiology, biochemistry, and pharmacology; Nursing education: To assess nursing skills such as specimen collection, medication administration, or monitoring vital signs; Allied health education: For fields like dentistry, pharmacy, and laboratory sciences, where technical proficiency is critical; The OSPE is a versatile and valuable tool in healthcare education for assessing practical skills in a standardized, objective way, ensuring learners are well-prepared for their technical responsibilities in clinical practice.

Workplace-Based Assessment (WPBA) refers to a range of assessment methods used to evaluate a learner's performance and competence in the actual workplace or clinical environment. Unlike traditional exams, which assess knowledge in a theoretical setting, WPBA assesses how a learner applies their knowledge and skills in real-time practice, making it an important tool in competency-based education, especially in healthcare and other professional fields.

*Key Features of Workplace-Based Assessment (WPBA):*

- Real-World Setting: WPBA occurs in the workplace, meaning the learner is assessed during their routine duties or tasks in a clinical, laboratory, or professional environment. This allows for the evaluation of practical, hands-on skills.
- Continuous Assessment: WPBA is typically conducted over a period of time, allowing for the observation and assessment of a learner's performance across various tasks and situations. This provides a more comprehensive and realistic understanding of their abilities.
- Formative and Summative Use: WPBA can be used both for formative purposes (providing feedback to support development) and summative purposes (assessing overall competency for certification or advancement).
- Multisource Feedback: In WPBA, feedback may come from a variety of sources, including supervisors, peers, patients, and even the learners themselves (through self-assessment). This allows for a 360-degree view of the learner's performance.
- Competency-Based: WPBA is aligned with specific competencies required for

professional practice. These competencies can include clinical reasoning, technical skills, communication, professionalism, and teamwork.

➤ **Direct Observation:** Learners are observed directly by supervisors or colleagues while performing their regular duties. The assessment is based on real-time observation rather than simulated tasks.

*Common Workplace-Based Assessment (WPBA) Methods:*

1. **Mini-CEX (Mini Clinical Evaluation Exercise):**

✓ A short, structured observation of a clinical encounter between a learner and a patient.

✓ Evaluates history-taking, physical examination, clinical reasoning, and communication.

✓ Immediate feedback is provided to the learner.

2. **DOPS (Direct Observation of Procedural Skills):**

✓ Focuses on the observation of the learner performing specific clinical procedures.

✓ Assesses technical skills, patient safety, and procedural competence.

✓ Feedback is given immediately after the procedure.

3. **CBD (Case-Based Discussion):**

✓ An in-depth discussion between the learner and a supervisor about a real patient case.

✓ Evaluates clinical reasoning, decision-making, and knowledge application.

✓ Allows the learner to reflect on their thought process and learn from the feedback.

4. **Multisource Feedback (MSF):**

✓ Also known as 360-degree feedback, it gathers assessments from multiple individuals who interact with the learner, including colleagues, patients, and supervisors.

✓ Evaluates teamwork, communication, professionalism, and interpersonal skills.

5. **Portfolio:**

✓ A collection of evidence gathered over time, including reflective writing, case logs, and documented feedback from supervisors.

✓ Allows for assessment of learning progress and personal development over a period.

6. **Patient Satisfaction Surveys:**

✓ Involves gathering feedback from patients regarding the learner's interaction, communication, and professionalism during consultations or procedures.

7. **Entrustable Professional Activities (EPAs):**

✓ Assesses whether a learner can be entrusted to perform specific professional tasks independently.

✓ Directly evaluates practical competence in real-world tasks.

Domains Assessed by WPBA:

✓ Clinical competence: Clinical reasoning, diagnosis, treatment planning, and procedural skills.

✓ Professionalism: Ethical behavior, responsibility, and respect for patients and colleagues.

✓ Communication skills: Interpersonal interactions with patients, families, and healthcare teams.

✓ Teamwork: Collaboration with peers and other healthcare professionals.

✓ Time management and organizational skills: Efficiently managing tasks and prioritizing patient care.

✓ Patient-centered care: Demonstrating empathy, respect, and understanding of patient needs and preferences.

*Benefits of Workplace-Based Assessment (WPBA):*

✓ Real-World Relevance: Since WPBA is conducted in actual workplace settings, it offers a more accurate assessment of a learner's readiness for professional practice than theoretical or simulation-based assessments.

✓ Holistic Assessment: WPBA evaluates a range of competencies, including clinical, interpersonal, and professional skills, providing a comprehensive view of the learner's abilities.

✓ Personalized Feedback: WPBA includes regular, personalized feedback that helps learners identify areas for improvement and track their progress over time.

✓ Encourages Reflection: Many WPBA methods, such as case-based discussions and portfolios, encourage self-assessment and reflection, helping learners develop critical thinking and lifelong learning skills.

✓ Supports Professional Development: By identifying strengths and weaknesses, WPBA helps learners create targeted learning plans to develop the skills they need for future practice.

✓ Flexible: WPBA can be adapted to different specialties, professional roles, and clinical settings, making it a versatile assessment method.

*Challenges of Workplace-Based Assessment (WPBA):*

➤ Consistency: Since WPBA is conducted in real-world settings, variability in patient cases, work environments, and assessors can affect the consistency of the assessment.

➤ Time-Intensive: Supervisors need to invest time in observing, assessing, and

providing feedback, which can be a challenge in busy clinical settings.

➤ **Subjectivity:** Although WPBA uses structured checklists, there is still some subjectivity in the evaluation process, especially in areas like communication and professionalism.

*Commonly Used In:*

➤ **Medical education:** For assessing students, interns, and residents in clinical settings.

➤ **Nursing education:** To evaluate clinical competence and practical nursing skills.

➤ **Allied health professions:** Used in fields like physiotherapy, pharmacy, and radiography to assess professional skills.

➤ **Other professional fields:** WPBA is also used in professions such as law and education, where practical skills and professional behaviors are essential.

Workplace-Based Assessment (WPBA) is a powerful tool for evaluating learners' real-world performance in professional settings. By focusing on practical skills and providing continuous feedback, WPBA ensures that learners are competent and ready for independent practice, making it an essential part of competency-based education and professional development.

The flipped classroom is an instructional approach that reverses the traditional teaching model. In a flipped classroom, students first engage with new content outside of class, typically through video lectures, readings, or other digital resources, and then use class time for interactive, hands-on activities, problem-solving, or discussions with peers and instructors.

*Key Features of the Flipped Classroom Model:*

1. **Pre-Class Learning:**

➤ **Content Delivery:** New material is introduced outside the classroom. This might involve watching pre-recorded video lectures, reading articles, reviewing case studies, or completing interactive online modules.

➤ **Self-Paced:** Students can go through the material at their own pace, pausing and rewatching sections if needed, which accommodates different learning styles and speeds.

2. **In-Class Application:**

➤ **Active Learning:** Classroom time is devoted to applying the knowledge gained through pre-class materials. Activities might include group discussions, problem-solving exercises, collaborative projects, debates, or lab work.

➤ **Instructor Facilitation:** Instead of delivering a lecture, the teacher acts as a facilitator or coach, guiding students as they work through problems or engage in discussions, addressing misconceptions, and providing individualized support.

### 3. Student-Centered Learning:

✓ The flipped classroom emphasizes active participation and engagement from students. Since they have already encountered the content outside of class, they are expected to come prepared to engage deeply with the material during class time.

✓ This approach shifts the focus from passive learning (listening to a lecture) to active learning, where students take ownership of their learning process.

#### Benefits of the Flipped Classroom:

- **Deeper Understanding:** By using class time for interactive and problem-solving activities, students can develop a deeper understanding of the material through practice and discussion rather than just memorization.

- **Increased Engagement:** The flipped classroom model promotes active participation and interaction with peers and instructors, which can increase student engagement and motivation to learn.

- **Personalized Learning:** Instructors have more time during class to provide individualized help to students, addressing specific questions or challenges, and supporting students at different levels of understanding.

- **Flexible Learning Pace:** Since students can access pre-class materials at their own pace, those who need more time to grasp complex concepts can revisit the content, while faster learners can move ahead.

- **Better Use of Class Time:** Instead of spending valuable class time delivering content, instructors can focus on higher-order learning activities like applying, analyzing, and evaluating information.

- **Improved Collaboration:** In-class activities often involve collaboration and teamwork, helping students develop important communication and teamwork skills while learning from their peers.

#### *Challenges of the Flipped Classroom:*

➤ **Preparation Time:** Creating high-quality pre-class materials, such as video lectures or interactive resources, can be time-consuming for instructors.

➤ **Student Accountability:** Success in a flipped classroom relies on students coming to class prepared. If students don't engage with the pre-class materials, they may struggle during in-class activities.

➤ **Access to Technology:** Students need reliable access to technology (such as computers, internet access, or multimedia tools) to complete pre-class assignments, which can be a barrier for some learners.

➤ **Adaptation:** Both students and teachers may need time to adjust to the flipped model, especially if they are used to traditional lectures. Some students might prefer face-to-face lectures, and teachers need to be comfortable with facilitating active

learning sessions.

### *Flipped Classroom in Healthcare and Professional Education:*

In medical and professional education, the flipped classroom has gained popularity as a method for enhancing clinical training and hands-on learning:

- Pre-Class Work: Learners might review video lectures on clinical cases, medical procedures, or theoretical content before class.
- In-Class Application: Classroom time can then be dedicated to applying the material through case-based discussions, simulation exercises, or role-playing scenarios, helping learners practice decision-making and problem-solving in real-world contexts.

The flipped classroom is an innovative teaching model that shifts the focus from passive lecture-based learning to active, student-centered engagement. By delivering content outside of class and using class time for deeper application, the flipped classroom helps learners develop critical thinking, collaboration, and problem-solving skills while fostering a more personalized learning experience.

The flipped classroom is a modern, transformative learning strategy that integrates blended learning with digital or physical learning resources outside of the traditional classroom. Students receive pre-recorded lessons as preparatory assignments, shifting the focus from teacher-led learning to self-directed learning. Classroom activities focus on collaborative problem solving by having students work in small groups to analyze medical cases, encouraging a team approach and improving long-term knowledge retention. This method also encourages peer interaction and allows students to fill knowledge gaps while assessing individual differences and learning style strengths. Assigned tasks. Research shows that this approach outperforms traditional classrooms when it comes to increasing student awareness, critical thinking, learning outcomes, and motivation. Thanks to multimedia tools, students benefit from unlimited access to educational content, thus promoting interactive and independent learning. This model allows students to evaluate their progress, identify areas for improvement, and use constructive feedback from peers and instructors to ensure continuous improvement in their learning processes.

Peer-assisted learning (PAL) involves expanding knowledge and skills through mutual support from peers. This collaborative, non-professional approach to learning consists of a group of motivated individuals who support each other throughout the learning process. Participants, both teachers and students, usually have the same educational background. This method is implemented by selecting students who have adequate skills in teaching medical concepts. It promotes the development of skills that not only enhance learning but also contribute to medical practice. PAL is a broad

framework that strengthens the link between practical experience and a collaborative learning environment. Peer-assisted learning (PAL) benefits both the teacher and the student by improving their understanding and knowledge. Tutors gain benefits such as improved communication skills and a deeper understanding of the subject matter. The process of preparing lessons during tutoring and providing feedback to peers promotes the cognitive and non-cognitive development of tutors. Additionally, this approach has been shown to have a positive impact on student performance, leading to better exam results and overall success.

Social media acts as a hub for the digital web where users form online communities to engage in meaningful discussions. These communities play a vital role in sharing information, ideas, and a variety of content. Popular platforms such as Twitter, Facebook, YouTube, and blogs are just a few of the many options used for this purpose. In modern medicine, social media has become an integral part of professional communities, medical institutions, and support groups. As the need to advance education increases, integrating social media into modern educational systems is becoming essential. Social media platforms complement traditional teaching methods and support distance learning initiatives. Students and learners of all levels often turn to the Internet to learn about diseases, treatments, and physiological concepts. Additionally, organizations have realized the value of promoting blogs or live tweets during medical conferences, allowing content to reach a much wider audience than just those who attend in person. Advances in computer technology have had a major impact on medical education, especially through the electronic sharing of video content. The wide availability of online educational resources is essential for medical education. These tools support hands-on clinical skill acquisition, visual demonstration of anatomical dissections, and asynchronous learning through recorded lectures. Resources range from home study videos to professional content created by healthcare organizations and physicians, providing comprehensive content for personal and professional development.

A simulation is an artificial recreation of the real world that aims to achieve educational objectives through hands-on, experience-based learning. The basic idea of simulation-based learning (SBL) is to use simulated tools and scenarios to replicate authentic clinical situations. Although simulation is a relatively new phenomenon in medical education, it has long been used in other high-risk fields such as aviation. Medical simulation allows students to develop clinical skills through rigorous practice, a departure from traditional hands-on training. It offers an alternative to using real patients and real-time clinical scenarios, overcomes challenges such as limited access to clinical environments, and can be effectively integrated into pre-clinical training.

One of the most important benefits is giving trainees the freedom to repeatedly make and correct mistakes without compromising patient safety. Virtual reality (VR) can further enhance SBL by improving learning outcomes and increasing confidence in patient care. A cutting-edge technological innovation, virtual reality bridges the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application by immersing students in a realistic yet controlled environment. The level of complexity, realism and interactivity of virtual reality systems can vary greatly, allowing users to dynamically participate in simulated scenarios. For example, haptic feedback simulates resistance when handling tools, making the exercise more realistic. This technology is often used to train residents in laparoscopic and endoscopic procedures, helping them improve their skills in a safe, controlled environment.

Problem-based learning (PBL) is an innovative pedagogical approach that integrates various learning principles to solve a clinical problem. Its main objective is to improve the quality of educational outcomes through collaborative, independent, integrated, and in-depth learning. A key characteristic of PBL is the “problem-first” approach, in which students approach a problem without having received any prior formal training on the topic. PBL is typically taught in small groups where the teacher acts as a facilitator rather than a direct instructor. These courses are divided into different phases, each focusing on a specific problem and including periods of independent study to research and gather information. This structure encourages students to actively participate in building a solid foundation for independent learning. In PBL, medical students develop their understanding and interpretation of information collaboratively through social interaction, replacing passive consumption of projected content. PBL is considered an effective method for developing advanced cognitive skills in a group setting. By working on clinical cases, students improve their ability to apply their knowledge and develop their diagnostic reasoning skills. This method not only improves clinical reasoning, but also promotes effective time management and long-term knowledge retention. These skills are essential to tomorrow's medical practice, especially in a field where continuing education is essential to keep pace with the rapid growth of medical information and technological advances.

Case-based learning (CBL) is an educational strategy that integrates clinical cases with traditional teaching methods to improve student engagement and understanding. By incorporating real-world scenarios, (CBL) eliminates the motivational gaps often found in lectures. Students receive comprehensive patient information, including medical history, symptoms, clinical findings, and laboratory results. Through collaboration and interaction with peers, learners assess the situation, develop a diagnostic approach, and recommend appropriate management plans. The

primary purpose of Case-based learning (CBL) is to foster the critical thinking and analytical skills necessary for clinical practice. Case-based learning (CBL) bridges the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application through inquiry-based learning methods. Students work in small groups and solve clinical situations that mimic real-world medical situations. These teams work together to analyze the case, develop differential diagnoses, define treatment strategies, and consider next steps. Case-based learning (CBL) is particularly effective in addressing in-depth topics with clearly defined learning objectives and promotes improved clinical knowledge, teamwork, practical skills and evidence-based decision making.

Evidence-based medicine (EBM) teaches students the fundamental skills of interpreting, analyzing, and evaluating medical research. It involves five main steps: a) transforming uncertain information into a specific, researchable question, b) identifying the most relevant evidence, c) thoroughly evaluating the evidence for accuracy and reliability, d) integrating the results into clinical practice, and e) evaluating the results for effectiveness. Evidence-based medicine (EBM) promotes continuous learning and systematic thinking, which enables the careful application of the latest medical knowledge to patient care decisions. Although Evidence-based medicine (EBM) is opposed to balancing experiential objectivity and subjective experience, its early incorporation into medical education has significantly changed the cognitive approach of medical graduates. These students acquire better analytical and decision-making skills, leading to greater competence. Integrating EBM into traditional medical education improves research acumen, practical application, perspective, and long-term use of evidence-based strategies. Compared to blending (EBM) with traditional methods, modern approaches to education adopt a more comprehensive model that encourages creativity and adaptability. This shift encourages advanced critical thinking and reasoning, and contributes to a better understanding of disease mechanisms and patient care.

Bedside teaching is a traditional educational approach commonly used in medical and healthcare training. It involves direct teaching and learning that occurs at the patient's bedside, allowing students or trainees to engage with real patients and clinical scenarios in a practical environment. This method emphasizes experiential learning, patient interaction, and the application of theoretical knowledge in real-world situations.

*Key Features of Bedside Teaching:*

➤ **Real-World Context:** Bedside teaching takes place in clinical settings, such as hospitals or clinics, where learners interact with patients and healthcare professionals. This real-world context enhances the relevance of the education.

➤ **Patient-Centered Learning:** The method focuses on actual patient cases, allowing students to observe, assess, and discuss the patient's condition, diagnosis, and treatment options. This patient-centered approach promotes empathy and understanding of patient needs.

➤ **Interactive Learning:** Bedside teaching encourages active participation from learners. They may be involved in taking patient histories, performing physical examinations, discussing clinical findings, and formulating management plans in collaboration with supervisors.

➤ **Direct Observation:** Instructors or experienced clinicians observe and guide students during their interactions with patients, providing immediate feedback on clinical skills, communication, and professionalism.

➤ **Integration of Theory and Practice:** Learners can connect theoretical knowledge with clinical practice by discussing the rationale behind diagnoses and treatment plans while engaging with real patients.

**Benefits of Bedside Teaching:**

- **Enhanced Clinical Skills:** Direct interaction with patients helps learners develop essential clinical skills, such as history-taking, physical examination, and diagnostic reasoning.

- **Improved Patient Communication:** Engaging with patients in real-time helps students practice and refine their communication skills, building rapport and empathy.

- **Immediate Feedback:** Instructors can provide real-time feedback on students' performance, allowing for immediate reflection and improvement in clinical practice.

- **Holistic Understanding:** Learners gain a better understanding of patient care by seeing the entire patient experience, including diagnosis, treatment, and emotional aspects.

- **Development of Critical Thinking:** Engaging with complex cases fosters critical thinking and decision-making skills, as students must analyze and evaluate information in a dynamic environment.

**Challenges of Bedside Teaching:**

- ✓ **Time Constraints:** Clinical environments can be busy, making it challenging to find adequate time for teaching without interrupting patient care.

- ✓ **Variability in Learning Opportunities:** The availability of interesting cases or diverse patients may vary, impacting the learning experience. Not all patients may present typical or educational cases.

- ✓ **Potential for Patient Anxiety:** Some patients may feel uncomfortable with medical students or trainees present during their care, which can affect the teaching experience.

- ✓ **Need for Skilled Instructors:** Effective bedside teaching requires instructors who

are skilled in both clinical practice and teaching methodologies. Not all clinicians may have training in educational techniques.

✓ **Assessment Difficulties:** Evaluating student performance during bedside teaching can be subjective and challenging to standardize.

*Best Practices for Effective Bedside Teaching:*

➤ **Preparation:** Instructors should prepare before bedside teaching sessions by reviewing relevant patient cases, understanding the clinical background, and identifying key teaching points.

➤ **Patient Consent:** Always ensure that patients are comfortable with having learners present during their care and obtain their consent.

➤ **Engagement:** Encourage active participation from learners by asking questions and fostering discussions about clinical findings and management plans.

➤ **Feedback:** Provide immediate and constructive feedback to help learners improve their skills and understanding.

➤ **Reflective Practice:** Encourage learners to reflect on their experiences after the bedside teaching sessions to reinforce learning and promote self-assessment.

Bedside teaching is a valuable method in medical and healthcare education, providing learners with the opportunity to apply their knowledge and develop essential clinical skills in a real-world context. By emphasizing patient interaction, active learning, and immediate feedback, bedside teaching fosters a deeper understanding of patient care and prepares students for their future roles as healthcare professionals.

Education is an ongoing process and it is important to recognize that students have different approaches to learning. Several innovative learning strategies in medical education include case-based learning (CBL), evidence-based medicine (EBM), problem-based learning (PBL), simulation-based learning (SBL), digital education, peer-assisted learning (PAL). Experiential learning, flipped classrooms and collaborative learning. These non-traditional, student-centered learning methods expand students' perspectives through innovative methods of meaningful knowledge development while enhancing professional competencies through the development of skills, experiences, and leadership in the medical field. Thus, medical education must remain adaptable to effectively and appropriately integrate and apply interdisciplinary educational strategies from the core preclinical stages.

The adoption of modern teaching and learning methods in medical education has emerged as a key focus for higher education institutions globally. These methods aim to address the increasing complexity of healthcare systems, advances in medical science, and the need for well-rounded healthcare professionals capable of meeting diverse patient needs. The integration of innovative educational strategies, such as

problem-based learning (PBL), simulation-based training, and digital learning platforms, reflects a paradigm shift from traditional rote learning to a more dynamic, learner-centered approach.

One of the critical aspects of this transformation is the emphasis on active learning. Methods like flipped classrooms and case-based discussions encourage students to engage deeply with the material, promoting critical thinking and application of knowledge in real-world scenarios. Similarly, simulation-based training has proven invaluable for honing clinical skills in a controlled, risk-free environment, ensuring students are better prepared for real-life challenges.

Technology also plays a pivotal role in modernizing medical education. Virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and artificial intelligence (AI) are being used to enhance visualization, diagnosis training, and personalized learning pathways. These tools provide students with immersive experiences and instant feedback, fostering a deeper understanding of complex concepts.

Moreover, the global shift toward competency-based education reflects a commitment to outcome-driven learning. This approach prioritizes the development of specific skills and competencies essential for healthcare practice, moving beyond time-based training to focus on mastery and proficiency. Collaborative learning, interdisciplinary education, and integration of soft skills like communication, empathy, and teamwork further enrich the learning process.

However, the implementation of these modern methods is not without challenges. Variations in institutional resources, faculty training, and technological infrastructure can create disparities in the quality of education offered. Additionally, balancing traditional foundational knowledge with innovative methods requires careful curriculum design and ongoing evaluation to ensure educational effectiveness. The Execution of modern teaching and learning strategies in medical education is a global necessity driven by the evolving demands of healthcare. These methods not only enhance knowledge acquisition but also prepare students to become adaptive, competent, and compassionate medical professionals. The ongoing refinement of these approaches will be crucial in meeting the future needs of healthcare systems worldwide.

The global scientific discourse confirms that the exploration and application of contemporary educational practices are central to the advancement of medical and pharmaceutical training. The transition from passive to active learning, underpinned by pedagogical theories like constructivism and situated learning, is essential for producing a competent, adaptable, and collaborative future healthcare workforce. The path forward requires a concerted effort from institutions, accrediting bodies, and policymakers to address implementation barriers through strategic investment in infrastructure,

comprehensive faculty development, curricular reform, and the creation of robust, competency-based assessment frameworks. The future of medical and pharmaceutical education lies in flexible, blended, and person-centered learning models that leverage technology not as an end in itself, but as a powerful tool to enhance human interaction, clinical reasoning, and the ultimate goal of improving global health.

### **Conclusions**

➤ The integration of contemporary educational practices into university-level medical and pharmaceutical training represents a necessary and transformative evolution in preparing the next generation of healthcare professionals. The global shift from traditional, lecture-based pedagogy towards student-centered, experiential, and technology-enhanced learning models is not merely a trend but a fundamental response to the complexities of modern healthcare. These innovative approaches – including problem-based learning, simulation, interprofessional education, and flipped classrooms – have demonstrated significant potential to foster deeper understanding, enhance clinical competency, and cultivate critical soft skills such as collaboration, communication, and adaptive problem-solving.

➤ However, this transition is a complex, multi-faceted endeavor, marked by significant challenges. Disparities in resources, resistance to cultural change within institutions, the need for comprehensive faculty development, and the hurdle of curricular overcrowding present substantial obstacles to uniform global implementation. The journey forward requires a concerted, collaborative effort. Strategic investment in technological infrastructure, ongoing professional development for educators, and the reform of accreditation and assessment standards are crucial to creating sustainable and equitable educational ecosystems.

➤ Ultimately, the goal is clear: to create a dynamic, flexible, and resilient educational environment that empowers medical and pharmaceutical students to become lifelong learners and proficient practitioners. By embracing evidence-based pedagogical innovations and addressing the barriers to their adoption, the global academic community can ensure that future healthcare professionals are equipped with the knowledge, skills, and adaptability required to meet evolving patient needs and advance the standards of healthcare worldwide. The future of health professions education lies in this blended, personalized, and continuous learning paradigm, ensuring that graduates are not only experts in their field but also effective collaborators and innovators in a rapidly changing world.

**Acknowledgment-Declarations:** The author has declared that there are no conflicts of interest.

## References

1. Greenhalgh, Trisha. *How to Read a Paper: The Basics of Evidence-Based Medicine*. 6th ed. Wiley-Blackwell, 2019.
2. Straus, Sharon E., et al. *Evidence-Based Medicine: How to Practice and Teach EBM*. 5th ed. Elsevier, 2018.
3. Dawes, Martin, et al. "Second International Conference on Evidence-Based Health Care Teaching and Learning: Principles of Teaching Evidence-Based Medicine." *BMJ* 329, no. 7473 (2004): 1018-1020.
4. Glasziou, Paul, et al. *Evidence-Based Medical Monitoring: From Principles to Practice*. Wiley-Blackwell, 2008.
5. Haynes, R. Brian, et al. "Clinical Expertise in the Era of Evidence-Based Medicine and Patient Choice." *ACP Journal Club* 136, no. 2 (2002): A11-14.
6. Djulbegovic, Benjamin, and Gordon H. Guyatt. "Progress in Evidence-Based Medicine: A Quarter Century On." *The Lancet* 390, no. 10092 (2017): 415-423.
7. Ely, John W., et al. "How to Do a Best Evidence Search." *Journal of Family Practice* 49, no. 5 (2000): 426-430.
8. Sulashvili Nodar., Peculiarities of professional and career improvement strategy for pharmacists republic of armenia ministry of education and science; YEREVAN STATE MEDICAL UNIVERSITY AFTER M. HEARTS; DISSERTATION; Dissertation for the Scientific Degree of Ph.D. in Pharmaceutical Sciences; On specialty 15.00.01 – Pharmacy; YEREVAN – 2019; Pp 1-175;
9. Brownson, Ross C., et al. *Evidence-Based Public Health*. 3rd ed. Oxford University Press, 2017.
10. Shaneyfelt, Terrence M., et al. "Are Guidelines Following Guidelines?" *JAMA* 301, no. 6 (2009): 651-653.
11. Cook, David J., et al. "Clinical Recommendations Using Levels of Evidence for Interventional Research." *Annals of Internal Medicine* 148, no. 2 (2008): 149-156.
12. Glasziou, Paul, et al. *Clinical Thinking: Evidence, Communication, and Decision-Making*. Wiley-Blackwell, 2008.
13. Badyal, Dinesh Kumar, and Parveen Bala Sharma. "Innovations in Teaching and Learning Methods in Medical Education: An Overview." *National Journal of Physiology, Pharmacy and Pharmacology* 5, no. 5 (2015): 365-368.
14. Harden, Ronald M., and Joy Crosby. "AMEE Guide No 20: The Good Teacher Is More Than a Lecturer—the Twelve Roles of the Teacher." *Medical Teacher* 22, no. 4 (2000): 334-347.
15. Kurtz, Suzanne M., and Jonathan D. Silverman. "The Calgary-Cambridge Referenced Observation Guides: An Aid to Defining the Curriculum and Organizing

the Teaching in Communication Training Programmes." *Medical Education* 30, no. 2 (2016): 83-89.

16. McLean, Michelle. "Problem-Based Learning in Medical Education: A Review of the Literature." *South African Medical Journal* 96, no. 11 (2006): 1226-1229.

17. Ruiz, Jorge G., Michael J. Mintzer, and Rosanne M. Leipzig. "The Impact of E-Learning in Medical Education." *Academic Medicine* 81, no. 3 (2006): 207-212.

18. Spencer, John A., and Ronald K. Jordan. "Learner Centred Approaches in Medical Education." *BMJ* 318, no. 7193 (2007): 1280-1283.

19. Topping, Keith J. "The Effectiveness of Peer Tutoring in Further and Higher Education: A Typology and Review of the Literature." *Higher Education* 32, no. 3 (2003): 321-345.

20. Walsh, Kieran. "Online Educational Tools to Improve the Knowledge of Primary Care Professionals in Infectious Diseases." *Education for Primary Care* 20, no. 5 (2009): 360-364.

21. Yew, Elaine H. J., and Karen Goh. "Problem-Based Learning: An Overview of Its Process and Impact on Learning." *Health Professions Education* 2, no. 2 (2016): 75-79.

22. Sulashvili N., Beglaryan M. Pharmacist Occupational Features, Regulations Framework and Profession Enhancement Challenges of Pharmaceutics // *Caucasus Journal of Health Sciences and Public Health*; E ISSN 2449-2450; ISSN 2449-2647; The University of Georgia Publishing Official Journal of the University of Georgia and Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University with Support of the Arctic University of Tromsø/Norway. Volume 4, Supplement 8, July 20–24, 2020. – PP. 31–36.

23. Sulashvili N., Gorgaslidze N., Beglaryan M., Gabunia L., Kvizhinadze N., Zarkua T., Giorgobiani M., Peikrishvili N., Sulashvili M. The manifestation of characteristics, opportunities and challenges of electronic systems and digital intelligence using in pharmaceutical services. ISSN 1512-0392; E-ISSN 2667-9736; JECM 2024/4; <https://journals.4science.ge/index.php/jecm/issue/view/169>; NINO JAVAKHISHVILI-GEORGIAN SCIENTIFIC-PRACTICAL JOURNAL-"Experimental and clinical Medicine", №4; Tbilisi State Medical University, TSMU; Faculty of Public Health, Georgia Academy of Preventive Medicine Sciences and Organized by the Medical Ecology Association XVI International Conference "Health and Ecology", October 12-16, 2024; Santa Margarita - Portofino, Italy-2024. Pp:122-125.

24. Ziv, Amitai, Paul Root Wolpe, Stephen D. Small, and Shimon Glick. "Simulation-Based Medical Education: An Ethical Imperative." *Academic Medicine* 78, no. 8 (2003): 783-788.

25. Frenk, Julio, Lincoln Chen, Zulfiqar A. Bhutta, Jordan Cohen, Nigel Crisp, Timothy Evans, Harvey Fineberg, Patricia Garcia, et al. "Health Professionals for a

New Century: Transforming Education to Strengthen Health Systems in an Interdependent World." *The Lancet* 376, no. 9756 (2010): 1923-1958.

26. Cook, David A., and David M. Dupras. "A Practical Guide to Developing Effective Web-Based Learning." *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 19, no. 6 (2004): 698-707.

27. Ellaway, Rachel H., and Ken E. Masters. "AMEE Guide 32: E-Learning in Medical Education Part 1: Learning, Teaching and Assessment." *Medical Teacher* 30, no. 5 (2008): 455-473.

28. Gormley, Gerard J., Michael Collins, Deirdre Boohan, Seamus Bickle, and Hugh Stevenson. "Is There a Place for E-Learning in Clinical Skills? A Survey of Undergraduate Medical Students' Experiences and Attitudes." *Medical Teacher* 31, no. 1 (2009): e6-e12.

29. Sulashvili N. Peculiarities of Professional and Career Improvement Strategy for Pharmacists Republic of Armenia Ministry of Education and Science; Yerevan State Medical University after M. Heratsi; Abstract of the Dissertation for the Scientific of PhD in Pharmaceutical Sciences; on Specialty 15.00.01 – Pharmacy; the Specialized Council 026 "Theoretical Medicine" of the Supreme Certifying Committee of the Republic of Armenia at the Yerevan State Medical University. Yerevan–2019. – PP. 1–26.

30. Harden, Ronald M. "AMEE Guide No. 21: Curriculum Mapping: A Tool for Transparent and Authentic Teaching and Learning." *Medical Teacher* 23, no. 2 (2011): 123-137.

31. Kaufman, David M. "Applying Educational Theory in Practice." *BMJ* 326, no. 7382 (2003): 213-216.

32. Mann, Karen V. "Theoretical Perspectives in Medical Education: Past Experience and Future Possibilities." *Medical Education* 45, no. 1 (2012): 60-68.

33. Norman, Geoffrey. "Problem-Based Learning Makes a Difference. But Why?" *CMAJ* 178, no. 1 (2008): 61-62.

34. Prideaux, David. "ABC of Learning and Teaching in Medicine: Curriculum Design." *BMJ* 326, no. 7383 (2013): 268-270.

35. Reeves, Scott, Merrick Zwarenstein, Joy Goldman, David Barr, Doris Freeth, Martin Hammick, and Ivan Koppel. "The Effectiveness of Interprofessional Education: Key Findings from a New Systematic Review." *Journal of Interprofessional Care* 24, no. 3 (2010): 230-241.

36. Schmidt, Henk G., and Henny P. M. Moust. "What Makes a Tutor Effective? A Structural-Equations Modeling Approach to Learning in Problem-Based Curricula." *Academic Medicine* 70, no. 8 (2009): 708-714.

37. Swanwick, Tim, ed. *Understanding Medical Education: Evidence, Theory, and*

Practice. 2nd ed. Wiley-Blackwell, 2014.

38. Taylor, David C. M., and Hossam Hamdy. "Adult Learning Theories: Implications for Learning and Teaching in Medical Education: AMEE Guide No. 83." *Medical Teacher* 35, no. 11 (2013): e1561-e1572.

39. Kumar, S., and S. Srinivasan. "Modern Techniques of Teaching and Learning in Medical Education." *Journal of Education and Health Sciences* 10, no. 2 (2023): 123-135.

40. Smith, J. A., and L. M. Brown. "Innovative Teaching Methods in Health Professions Education." MGH Institute of Health Professions, July 15, 2023.

41. Patel, R., and A. Gupta. "A Review on Modern Teaching and Learning Techniques in Medical Education." *Journal of Educational Technology and Management* 5, no. 1 (2022): 45-58.

42. Johnson, D. W., and R. T. Johnson. "Current and Emerging Medical Education Teaching Methods: Keeping Up with the Evolving Medical Sciences." ResearchGate, August 2021.

43. Korre, Danai, and Andrew Sherlock. "Augmented Reality in Higher Education: A Case Study in Medical Education." arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.16248 (2023).

44. Cutrer, William B., Bonnie Miller, Martin V. Pusic, George Mejicano, and Rajesh S. Mangrulkar. "Fostering the Development of Master Adaptive Learners: A Conceptual Model to Guide Skill Acquisition in Medical Education." *Academic Medicine* 92, no. 1 (2017): 70-75.

45. Deiorio, Nicole M., Patricia A. Carney, Leslie E. Kahl, Erin M. Bonura, and Amy Miller Juve. "Coaching: A New Model for Academic and Career Achievement." *Medical Education Online* 21, no. 1 (2016): 33480.

46. Triola, Marc M., and Jesse Burk-Rafel. "Precision Medical Education." *Academic Medicine* 98, no. 7 (2023): 945-950.

47. Van Melle, Elaine, Jason R. Frank, Eric S. Holmboe, Damon Dagnone, and Denise Stockley. "A Core Components Framework for Evaluating Implementation of Competency-Based Medical Education Programs." *Academic Medicine* 94, no. 7 (2019): 1000-1007.

48. Regan, Linda, Laura R. Hopson, Michael A. Gisondi, and Jeremy Branzetti. "Learning to Learn: A Qualitative Study to Uncover Strategies Used by Master Adaptive Learners in the Planning of Learning." *Medical Teacher* 41, no. 11 (2019): 1252-1260.

49. Gonzalo, Jed D., Elizabeth Baxley, Jeffrey Borkan, Michael Dekhtyar, and Richard Hawkins. "Priority Areas and Potential Solutions for Successful Integration and Sustainment of Health Systems Science in Undergraduate Medical Education." *Academic Medicine* 92, no. 1 (2017): 63-69.

50. Cook, D. A., & Triola, M. M. (2014). Web-based learning in the health

professions: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*, 302(12), 1297-1305.

51. Hoffmann, Tammy, et al. *Evidence-Based Practice across the Health Professions*. 3rd ed. Elsevier, 2020.

52. Meats, Emma, et al. "The Effectiveness of Problem-Based Learning in Medical Education: A Systematic Review." *Medical Education* 43, no. 8 (2009): 778-786.

53. Claridge, Jeffrey A., and Timothy C. Fabian. "History and Development of Evidence-Based Medicine." *World Journal of Surgery* 29, no. 5 (2005): 547-553.

54. Young, John M., and Sally A. Ward. "Teaching Evidence-Based Medicine: Impact on Knowledge and Attitudes." *Journal of Medical Education* 39, no. 5 (2011): 349-355.

55. Macleod, Mary, et al. "The Role of Evidence-Based Practice in Clinical Decision-Making." *Nursing Standard* 25, no. 31 (2011): 49-57.

56. Rosenberg, William, and Anna Donald. "Evidence-Based Medicine: An Approach to Clinical Problem-Solving." *BMJ* 310, no. 6987 (2005): 1122-1126.

57. Frenk, J., et al. (2010). Health professionals for a new century: transforming education to strengthen health systems in an interdependent world. *The Lancet*, 376(9756), 1923-1958.

58. World Health Organization. (2013). Transforming and scaling up health professionals' education and training. WHO Guidelines.

59. Frenk, J., Chen, L., Bhutta, Z. A., Cohen, J., Crisp, N., Evans, T., & Fine, D. (2010). Health professionals for a new century: transforming education to strengthen health systems in an interdependent world. *The Lancet*, 376(9756), 1923-1958.

60. Cook, D. A., & Triola, M. M. (2014). Web-based learning in the health professions: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*, 302(12), 1297-1305. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2014.15564.

61. Mann, K. V., & MacLeod, A. (2009). Competency-based medical education: the canMEDS model. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 180(1), E25-E30.

62. Dolmans, D. H. J. M., et al. (2016). Tackling the challenges of problem-based learning: a systematic review of the literature. *Medical Education*, 50(3), 267-283.

63. Harden, R. M., & Crosby, J. (2010). AMEE Guide No. 20: The good teacher is more than a lecturer – the twelve roles of the teacher. *Medical Teacher*, 22(4), 334-347.

64. Thistlethwaite, J. E., & Davis, M. (2013). Interprofessional learning: a systematic review of the evidence. *Medical Education*, 47(3), 256-267.

65. Woods, D. R. (2000). *Problem-based learning: how to gain the most from PBL*. PBL Associates. ISBN: 9780968239930.

66. Baldwin, L. T., & Dorr, G. (2013). Interprofessional education and collaborative practice: a student perspective. *Nursing Outlook*, 61(1), 24-31.

67. Davis, D. A., & Taylor-Vaisey, A. (1997). Translating guidelines into practice: a systematic review of theoretical concepts, evidence, and interventions. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 157(4), 408-416.
68. Rees, C. E., & Bath, F. (2013). A survey of the use of assessment in clinical education in the UK. *Medical Education*, 34(3), 168-174. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2923.2000.00524.x.
69. Kirkpatrick, D. L. (2005). *Evaluating Training Programs: The Four Levels*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
70. Bennett, N. (2015). E-learning and the role of technology in medical education: a qualitative study. *Medical Education*, 49(3), 327-338. D
71. Dearnley, C., & Matthew, B. (2005). Implementing the use of online learning resources in undergraduate medical education. *Medical Teacher*, 27(2), 164-169.
72. Liaw, S. Y., & Chan, S. W. C. (2013). Development of a collaborative e-learning platform for nursing education: a case study. *Nurse Education Today*, 33(8), 1013-1017.
73. Harris, I. A., & Sutherland, A. (2012). The effectiveness of clinical skills teaching in medical education: a systematic review. *Medical Education*, 46(1), 23-34.
74. Kenny, N. P., et al. (2014). A review of the literature on the impact of interprofessional education on patient outcomes. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 28(3), 262-268.
75. Dolmans, D. H. J. M., et al. (2016). Tackling the challenges of problem-based learning: a systematic review of the literature. *Medical Education*, 50(3), 267-283.
76. Schmidt, H. G., & Moust, J. H. C. (2012). What makes a tutor effective? A structural equation modeling approach to learning and teaching in problem-based learning. *Academic Medicine*, 75(9), 876-883.
77. Baker, L. C., & Baker, R. (2016). Disruptive innovation in healthcare education: the flipped classroom. *Medical Teacher*, 38(9), 895-896. DOI: 10.1080/0142159X.2016.1163600.
78. Singhal A.(2017) Case-based Learning in Microbiology: Observations from a North West Indian Medical College. *International Journal of Applied and Basic Medical Research*. 7(Suppl 1),S47–S51.
79. Sudarso S. Rahayu G. R. and Suhoyo Y.(2016) How does feedback in mini-CEX affect students' learning response? *International Journal of Medical Education*. 7, pp.407–413.
80. Taveira-Gomes T., Ferreira P., Taveira-Gomes I., Severo M., et al. (2016) What Are We Looking for in Computer-Based Learning Interventions in Medical Education? A Systematic Review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 18(8), pp.1–21.

81. Thistlethwaite J. E., Davies D., Ekeocha S., Kidd J. M., et al. (2012) The effectiveness of case-based learning in health professional education. A BEME systematic review: BEME Guide No. 23. *Medical Teacher*. 34(6), e421–e444.
82. Tshitenge S. T. Ndhlovu C. E. et al. (2017) Evaluation of problem-based learning curriculum implementation in a clerkship rotation of a newly established African medical training institution: lessons from the University of Botswana. *The Pan African Medical Journal*. 27(13), pp.1–8.
83. Ubbink D. T. Guyatt G. H. and Vermeulen H. (2013) Framework of policy recommendations for implementation of evidence-based practice: a systematic scoping review. *BMJ Open*. 3:(e001881), pp.1–12.
84. Van Gog T., Paas F., Marcus N., Ayres P., et al. (2009) The mirror-neuron system and observational learning: Implications for the effectiveness of dynamic visualizations. *Educational Psychology Review*. 21(1), pp.21–30.
85. Sulashvili Nodar; Peculiarities of Professional And Career Improvement Strategy For Pharmacists Republic of Armenia Ministry Of Education And Science; Yerevan State Medical University After M. Heratsi; Abstract of The Dissertation For The Scientific of PhD In Pharmaceutical Sciences; On Specialty 15.00.01 – Pharmacy; The Specialized Council 026 “Theoretical Medicine” of The Supreme Certifying Committee Of The Republic Of Armenia At The Yerevan State Medical University. YEREVAN – 2019; Pp 1-26.
86. Ward P. and Lee M. (2005) Peer-Assisted Learning in Physical Education: A Review of Theory and Research. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education*. 24, pp.205–225.
87. White C., Bradley E., Martindale J., Roy P., et al. (2014) Why are medical students checking out of active learning in a new curriculum? *Med Ed*. 48(3), pp.315–324.
88. Zinski A. Blackwell K. T. C. P. W. Belue F. M. and Brooks W. S. (2017) Is lecture dead? A preliminary study of medical students’ evaluation of teaching methods in the preclinical curriculum. *International Journal of Medical Education*. 8, pp.326–333.

