testing/delivering and definition of the CL test threshold. The values obtained were LR+ 3,98/LR- 0,33 (FN) and LR+ 2,22/LR- 0,54 (CL). For the whole hypothetical cohort the total costs of the FN and CL were 2,3 billion and 890 million, respectively. The difference of avoided hospitalizations between the tests was 244 for FN. ICER was BRL 5,834,35. **CONCLUSIONS:** Both diagnostic tests are important alternatives for the detection of premature birth in Brazil. Studies of prediction of preterm delivery using CL have important limitations beyond the fact that CL measure is an operator/ machine dependent procedure. In women with symptomatic preterm labor FN is a cost-effective test strategy for prediction of preterm births.

### PIH23

# COST-EFFECTIVENESS OF VACCINATION AGAINST HERPES ZOSTER AND POSTHERPETIC NEURALGIA: A CRITICAL REVIEW

Kawai K<sup>1</sup>, Préaud E<sup>2</sup>, Baron-Papillon F<sup>2</sup>, Acosta CJ<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Merck & Co., Inc, West Point, PA, USA, <sup>2</sup>Sanofi Pasteur MSD, Lyon, France

**OBJECTIVES:** To systematically review cost-effectiveness studies of vaccination against herpes zoster (HZ) and post-herpetic neuralgia (PHN). METHODS: We searched MEDLINE and EMBASE databases for eligible studies until June 2013. We extracted information regarding model structure, model input parameters, and study results. We compared the results across studies by projecting the health and economic impacts of vaccinating 1 million adults over their lifetimes. RESULTS: We identified 14 cost-effectiveness studies performed in North America and Europe. Results ranged from approximately US\$10,000 to US\$100,000 per quality-adjusted life years gained, though most studies in Europe concluded that zoster vaccination is likely to be cost-effective. All studies used similar model structure. Differences in results among studies are largely due to differing assumptions regarding duration of vaccine protection and a loss in quality of life associated with HZ and to a larger extent, PHN. In addition, studies found that vaccine efficacy against PHN, age at vaccination, and vaccine cost strongly influenced the results in sensitivity analysis. CONCLUSIONS: Our review generally supports the economic value of this preventive intervention, particularly in Europe, which will become increasingly important as population ages. Future research addressing key model parameters and cost-effectiveness studies in other parts of the world are needed.

# PIH24

# AN ECONOMIC EVALUATION ALONGSIDE A CLINICAL TRIAL (EEACT) IN PELVIC FLOOR MEDICINE

Brennan VK<sup>1</sup>, Dixon S<sup>2</sup>, Jones G<sup>2</sup>, Radley S<sup>3</sup>, Jacques R<sup>2</sup>, Wood H<sup>2</sup>, Ledger W<sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>RTI Health Solutions, Sheffield, UK, <sup>2</sup>University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK, <sup>3</sup>Sheffield Teaching Hospitals Charitable Trust, Sheffield, UK, <sup>4</sup>University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia **OBJECTIVES:** To determine the cost-effectiveness of using an online questionnaire (ePAQ-PF) in combination with a telephone consultation compared to standard care. **METHODS:** All women, aged  $\geq$  18 years and referred to urogynaecology services in Sheffield were eligible. Women completed ePAQ-PF online and then received a telephone consultation (intervention), or face-to-face consultation (standard care). Costs for ePAQ-PF completion and consultation were derived in a microcosting study. Resource use data were collected at 6-months follow-up. The SF-12 was administered at baseline and follow-up. SF-6D estimates were used to calculate quality-adjusted life-years (QALYs). Patient experience was measured by the Patient Experience Ouestionnaire and Client Satisfaction Ouestionnaire. RESULTS: A total of 195 women were randomised. Consultation costs for the intervention group (£31.75) were lower than for the control (£72.17). The intervention group incurred greater direct costs and personal expenditure during follow-up. However lower costs associated with productivity loss for the intervention group resulted in lower indirect costs per-patient. Mean total costs per-patient were £38.04 greater in the intervention group (£1,139.86) than the control (£1101.82). SF-6D scores reduced slightly during follow-up for the intervention group, and increased slightly for the control, resulting in QALY loss for the intervention group, and QALY gains for the control. Statistically significant gains in patient experience were identified for the intervention group, although in strict cost-utility terms the intervention was dominated by the control. Incremental costs and QALYs resulted in a negative incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER). CONCLUSIONS: Although the intervention was not cost-effective compared to the controls, there was a significant difference in an important aspect of the care process, which was not captured by the ICER. This highlights the importance of decision makers accounting for intervention effects that fall outside the conventional conceptualization of the QALY. Methods could be developed that allow non-health effects, such as process utility, to be incorporated into the QALY.

### PIH25

# PHARMACOECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF PROGESTOGEN PREPARATIONS FOR THREATENED ABORTION TREATMENT IN UKRAINE

Tkachova O<sup>1</sup>, <u>Iakovlieva L</u><sup>1</sup>, Mishchenko O<sup>1</sup>, Matyashova N<sup>2</sup>

# <sup>1</sup>National University of Pharmacy, Kharkiv, Ukraine, <sup>2</sup>National University of Pharmacy, Kharkiv, Ukraine

**OBJECTIVES:** Comparative evaluation the cost effectiveness of threatened abortion treatment by two regimens: oxyprogesteronicaproas and dydrogesterone in Ukraine. **METHODS:** Pharmacoeconomic analysis was based on the results of comparative randomized trial (Belousov Yu. B., Karpov O.I., Ailamazian E.K., 2008). Two regimens for threatened abortion treatment: oxyprogesteronicaproas and dydrogesterone were evaluated. Treatment with dydrogesterone (20 mg per day) vas carried out during 8 weeks before closure forming placenta (56 days). Oxyprogesteronicaproas (250 mg per day) was used from 14 to 20 weeks of gestation (42 days). For determining the cost of therapy only the cost of drugs and auxiliary materials (syringes, alcohol) for both schemes were taken into account. The prices of drugs were taken from the information system "Drugs" of Company "Morion" (February, 2013, Ukraine). The currency ratio of UAH to dollar (USA) on 01.02.13 was 8,12:1. As an indicator of efficacy the number of saved pregnancy after treatment was used. **RESULTS:** The effectiveness of oxyprogesteronicaproas therapy was 88.6%, and dydrogesterone - 96.3%, the cost of treatment was \$78.63 and \$77.96 respectively. Cost-effectiveness ratio was \$ 88.7 for oxyprogesteronicaproas and \$ 80.9 for dydrogesterone. **CONCLUSIONS:** Cost-effectiveness analysis shown, that the use of dydrogesterone is more effective and less costly for threatened abortion treatment in Ukraine. The results of pharmacoeconomic analysis will optimize the government, insurance companies and patients cost.

## PIH26

# COST-EFFECTIVENESS OF INFANT PNEUMOCOCCAL VACCINATION IN THE NETHERLANDS

<u>Vemer P</u><sup>1</sup>, Postma MJ<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>UMC Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, <sup>2</sup>University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

**OBJECTIVES:** The Dutch National Immunization Program offers the 10-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV10). Also licensed for use in the infant population is the 13-valent PCV (PCV13). To update cost-effectiveness (CE) estimates of PCV13 over PCV10, using current epidemiological and economic data. METHODS: We modeled vaccinating a birth cohort with either PCV10 or PCV13 (3+1 dose schedule), and calculated costs and effects linked to resulting disease. We modeled invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD), non-invasive pneumonia and acute otitis media, and considered death and lifetime impairments after IPD. We calculated direct effects in the vaccinated cohort and indirect effects -herd immunity for the vaccine-type (VT) serotypes and replacement for the non-VT serotypes- in the rest of the population. Since no price is available, we use a price difference of €11 per dose and vary this price difference in sensitivity analyses. Epidemiological and economic data are taken as current as possible. A set of scenarios explore different assumptions, including different sets of epidemiological data, assumptions on vaccine efficacy and indirect effects. RESULTS: Taking only direct effects into account PCV13 cannot be considered cost-effective, unless the price difference is much lower than  $\in 11$  per dose. In three scenarios, PCV10 dominates PCV13; in the other scenarios the ICER is between the ICER of PCV13 compared to PCV10 is below € 20,000 per QALY for all scenarios. Scenarios do not have a large impact on the policy decision, unless we assume extra efficacy of PCV10 against non-typeable Haemophilus influenzae. CONCLUSIONS: Replacing PCV10 with PCV13 is not likely to be cost-effective in preventing invasive pneumococcal disease in young children. Taking potential benefits in elderly into account, PCV13 is likely cost-effective. The CE of PCV13 was highly sensitive for indirect effects our analysis.

#### PIH27

#### COST-MINIMIZATION ANALYSIS OF DIENOGEST VERSUS GONADOTROPHIN-RELEASING HORMONE ANALOGUES OR DYDROGESTERONE FOR ENDOMETRIOSIS TREATMENT IN RUSSIA

<u>Avxentyeva M</u>

The Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration, Moscow, Russia

OBJECTIVES: To perform pharmacoeconomic evaluation of dienogest vs gonadotrophin-releasing hormone analogues (GnRHa) or dydrogesterone for endometriosis in Russia. METHODS: Literature search did not reveale clinically significant differences in efficacy between dienogest 2 mg and GnRHa in terms of pain reduction associated with endometriosis. There was no difference in efficacy with dydrogesterone 60 mg once daily and placebo. Cost-minimization analysis was used to assess and compare drug costs of dienogest 2 mg daily, GnRHa - most often used in Russia including triptorelin, leuprorelin, buserelin (with obligatory application of add-back therapy for all three GnRHa) and dydrogesterone. Costs were calculated for a period of 6 months. RESULTS: Costs of endometriosis treatment per patient per 6 months were 1102€ for triptorelin, 1118€ for leuprorelin, 340€ for buserelin, 369€ for dydrogesterone and 295€ for dienogest. Dydrogesterone is less effective and more costly alternative in comparison with buserelin and dienogest. Among alternatives with the same efficacy dienogest is the most efficient option leading to savings from 74€ to 823€ per patient in 6 months. CONCLUSIONS: Using dienogest for treatment of endometriosis in Russia is as effective as using GnRHa but can lead to considerable cost savings because add-back therapy is not required.

### PIH28

### COST MINIMIZATION ANALYSIS OF THE DIENOGEST USE IN PATIENTS WITH ENDOMETRIS UNDER BRAZILIAN PUBLIC AND PRIVATE PERSPECTIVE <u>Ferracini M</u>, Nakada CP

Bayer healthcare Brazil, São Paulo, Brazil

OBJECTIVES: To provide the results from a cost-minimization (CM) model that compares the use of dienogest with the use of GnRH antagonist (GnRHa) leuprorelin acetate, both for 6 months, in women with endometriosis-associated pelvic pain (EAPP) in Brazil. METHODS: A(CM) model was developed in the form of a decision tree to mimic treatment sequence in Brazil. The analysis was conducted under the private and public payer perspectives, only direct costs were included, procedures and drug costs were obtain from Brazilian official databases of public and private health care system fees. This CM model compared different treatment pathways for women with EAPP and used a 50% improvement in pelvic pain as a definition of a treatment responder to elicit treatment duration. Treatment response assessment was at 12 week period. Two basic treatment pathways were defined: a two treatment sequence (2TS) and tree treatment sequence (3TS). The 2TS consists of: GnRHa/dienogest followed by surgery. The 3TS consists of: GnRHa/dienogest, dienogest/GnRH as second treatment and surgery as final option. Subsequent treatments were only for patients that did not respond to previous treatment. Discount was not applied as costs occurred within 1 year period. RESULTS: The CM model shows that for both treatment pathways and perspectives dienogest is a cost-saving alternative. Under private payer perspective for 2TS and 3TS: BRL 1020.42 VS BRL 2328.94 and BRL 1461.22 VS BRL 2377.52 for dienogest and GnRHa respectively. Under public payer perspective for 2TS and 3TS: BRL 882.74 VS BRL 768.13 and BRL 942.18 VS BRL 856.77 for dienogest and GnRHa respectively. Efficacy for 2TS and 3TS are: 91.58%